

#### FORM 51-102F1 MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FOR FREEGOLD VENTURES LIMITED

### DATED: NOVEMBER 14<sup>TH</sup>, 2012

The following discussion and analysis ("MD&A") is management's assessment of the results and financial condition of Freegold Ventures Limited (the "Company" or "Freegold") for the three and nine month periods ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012 and should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine month periods ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012 and related notes contained therein. All amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars unless otherwise noted. Additional information on the Company, including its annual information form, is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

This discussion contains certain forward-looking information and is expressly qualified to the cautionary statement at the end of this MD&A.

#### INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS

Effective January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011, the accounting framework under which financial statements are prepared in Canada for all publicly accountable enterprises changed to International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). The Company's transition date to IFRS was January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2010.

#### **BUSINESS OF FREEGOLD**

Freegold is an exploration stage company engaged in the acquisition, exploration and evaluation of mineral properties of merit with the aim of developing them to a stage where they can be exploited at a profit or to arrange joint ventures whereby other companies provide funding for development and exploitation. The Company was incorporated in 1985 and is listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the symbol "FVL". As of November 14<sup>th</sup>, 2012, the Company had 68,120,275 shares outstanding and a market capitalization of CDN \$62 million. The Company has its registered corporate office in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

#### **REVIEW OF EXPLORATION PROJECTS**

The Company is focused on gold exploration in Alaska and has three primary exploration projects: the Golden Summit, Vinasale and the Rob properties. Exploration in 2011 included the completion of diamond drill programs on all three of these projects. In March 2011, the Company completed it's first National Instrument 43-101 ("NI 43-101") compliant resource calculations on the Golden Summit and Vinasale properties. The Golden Summit NI 43-101 resource was updated in December 2011 and again in October 2012. The Vinasale resource was updated in March 2012.

Exploration in 2012 has included drilling at both the Golden Summit and the Vinasale Projects.

#### **GOLDEN SUMMIT PROJECT**

Since 1992, Freegold has explored the Golden Summit Project, which is located 20 miles north of Fairbanks, Alaska and less than 5 miles from one of Alaska's largest producing gold mines (Kinross' 350,000 oz/year Fort Knox Mine). Freegold's approximately 7-mile long by 1 to 2 mile wide Golden Summit property is located at the center of the historic Fairbanks mining district. This property contains over 80 known gold occurrences, and has hosted the district's largest and highest-grade historic underground gold producers. In the following discussion, gold is sometimes abbreviated by its elemental symbol, "Au"; and "g/t" or "gpt" refers to grams of gold per metric tonne.

Detailed exploration conducted to date covers a limited portion of the 7 mile long property. The balance of the property contains numerous old mines and prospects that have not been systematically explored.

The Golden Summit Project is comprised of a series of long-term leases and claims owned by Freegold (see "Keystone Claims", "Tolovana Claims", "Newsboy Claims", "Green Claims" and "Chatham Claims" below for further details). The project is subject to various sliding net smelter return royalties ("NSR's") ranging from 1-4.5% dependent on the price of gold.

#### **Keystone Claims**

By an agreement dated May 17<sup>th</sup>, 1992, the Company agreed with Keystone to lease the Keystone claims whereby the Company agreed to make advance royalty payments of US\$15,000 per year. In May 2000, the agreement was renegotiated and on 15 October 2000, a US\$50,000 payment was made in cash and shares. On November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2001, the Company restructured the advance royalty payments as follows:

	 US Funds	
1992 – 1998 (US\$15,000 per year)	\$ 105,000	(paid)
2000	\$ 50,000	(\$25,000 paid in cash and \$25,000 with
		9,816 treasury shares issued)
2001 - 2006 (US\$50,000 per year)	\$ 300,000	(paid)
2007	\$ 150,000	(paid)
2008	\$ 150,000	(paid \$75,000 in 2008 with the remaining \$75,000 paid in 2009, subject to a payment extension)
2009	\$ 150,000	(paid)
2010	\$ 150,000	(paid)
2011	\$ 150,000	(paid)
2012 – 2019 (US\$150,000 per year)	\$ 1,200,000	(150,000 paid to date in 2012) *

In 2011, Freegold negotiated an extension of the lease for so long as permitting, development mining or processing is being conducted on a continuous basis and, if the property is not in commercial production, provided that the advance royalties are being paid, the lease shall be renewable for successive 10 year terms.

\*\$75,000 was paid during the quarter and an additional \$75,000 was paid subsequent to the quarter ended September 30, 2012.

#### **Tolovana Claims**

In May 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with a third party (the "Seller") whereby the Seller transferred 100% of the rights via a Quit Claim Deed to a 20-year lease on the Tolovana Gold Property in Alaska.

Under the terms of the agreement, the Company assumed all of the Seller's obligations under the lease, which include making annual payments of \$1,000 per month for the first 23 months increasing to \$1,250 per month for the 24th to the 48th months and increasing to \$1,500 after the 49th month and for the duration of the lease. These payments are current.

The property is subject to a sliding scale NSR as follows: 1.5% NSR if gold is below US\$300 per ounce, 2.0% NSR in the event the price of gold is between US\$300 to US\$400, and a 3.0% NSR in the event that the price of gold is above US\$400. In addition, the Company made a payment of US\$7,500 on signing and issued 66,667 shares on regulatory approval. An additional 33,333 shares were to be issued within 30 days of a minimum 200,000 ounce mineral resource being calculated on the property if the resource was established in five years or less from the date of the agreement. No resource was calculated during the prescribed time frame so these shares were not issued.

	Exploration Expenditures	
December 31 <sup>st</sup> , 2010	US\$750,000	
December 31 <sup>st</sup> , 2011	US\$1,000,000	
December 31 <sup>st</sup> , 2012	US\$1,500,000	
December 31 <sup>st</sup> , 2013	US\$2,500,000	
December 31 <sup>st</sup> , 2013	US\$2,500,000	

Total

US\$5,750,000

#### **Newsboy Claims**

By lease agreement dated February 28<sup>th</sup>, 1986 and amended March 26<sup>th</sup>, 1996, the Company assumed the obligation to make advance royalty payments of US\$2,500 per year until 1996 (paid) and US\$5,000 per year until 2006 (paid). During 2006, the Company renewed the existing lease term for an additional 5 years on the same terms and conditions. These payments are current. The claims are subject to a 4% NSR ("Newsboy NSR"). The Company has the option to purchase the Newsboy NSR for the greater of the current value or US\$1,000,000 less all advance royalty payments made.

During the previous period, the Company agreed to an amended lease agreement, which is subject to final execution. Under the amended agreement, the lease term will be extended for a five year term, from 1 March 2011 to 29 February 2016. The minimum royalty payable under the amended lease will be US\$12,000 per year for the term of the lease. In addition, the Company will have the option to further extend the lease for a term of an additional 5 years by making a one-time payment of US\$50,000.

#### Green Claims

By lease agreement dated December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2010, the Company acquired from Christina Mining Company, LLC ("CMC") certain mineral claims in the Fairbanks Mining District of Alaska known as the Green Property. The property is controlled by the Company through a long-term lease agreement. The Company must make annual cash payments and exploration expenditures as follows:

	Payments	Exploration Expenditures	
December 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2010	US\$100.000 (paid)	-	
December 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2011	US\$100,000 (paid)	US\$250,000 (completed)	
December 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2012	US\$100,000	US\$500,000 (completed)	
December 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2013	US\$100,000	US\$750,000	
December 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2014	US\$100,000	US\$1,000,000	
December 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2015 to 2019	US\$100,000 per year	-	
December 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2020 to 2029	US\$200,000 per year	-	
Total	US\$3,000,000	US\$2,500,000	

#### **Chatham Claims**

By lease agreement dated July 11<sup>th</sup>, 2011, the Company acquired certain mineral claims in the Fairbanks Mining District of Alaska known as the Chatham Property. The property is controlled by the Company through a four year lease agreement. The claims are subject to a 2% NSR ("Chatham NSR"). The Company must make annual cash payments and exploration expenditures as follows:

	Payments	Exploration Expenditures
Execution of agreement	US\$20,000 (paid)	-
July 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2012	US\$30,000 (paid)	US\$50,000 (completed)
July 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2013	US\$40,000	US\$50,000
July 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2014	US\$50,000	US\$50,000
July 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2015	-	US\$50,000
Total	US\$140,000	US\$200,000

The Company has the option to purchase one-half of the Chatham NSR, representing one percent for US\$750,000.

The Company also has the option to purchase the property for US\$750,000.

Certain claims are subject to a 7% working interest held in trust for Fairbanks Exploration Inc. ("FEI") by the Company. Under this Quit Claim Deed Freegold is required to fund 100% of the project until production is achieved at which point FEI is required to contribute 7% of any approved budget. These claims are subject to a 2% net smelter returns royalty ("FEI NSR") to FEI. The Company has a 30 day right of first refusal, in the event that the 7% working interest of FEI or the FEI NSR is to be sold by FEI. The Company can also purchase the FEI NSR at any time following commercial production, based on its net present value as determined by mineable reserves.

#### Alaskan Trust Property

The Company acquired a new mining lease over certain mineral claims in the Fairbanks Mining District of Alaska known as the Alaskan Trust Property. The property will be controlled by the Company through a three year lease agreement and may be extended by two extensions of three years. The Company will be required to make annual cash payments and exploration expenditures as follows:

	Payments	Exploration Expenditures	
Execution of agreement	US\$25,000 (paid)	-	
Years 1-3	US\$2,000 per year	US\$25,000 per year	
Years 4-6	US\$3,000 per year	US\$50,000 per year	
Years 7-9	US\$4,000 per year	US\$75,000 per year	
Total	US\$52,000	US\$450,000	

The claims are subject to the following NSR:

Price of Gold (per ounce)	Net Royalty
\$500 or below	1.0%
\$500.01 - \$700.00	2.0%
\$700.01 - \$900.00	3.0%
\$900.01 - \$1,200.00	3.5%
above \$1,200.00	4.5%

#### **Recent Exploration**

#### Dolphin Zone

In March 2011, an initial NI 43-101 compliant resource was calculated on the Dolphin Zone, which is located in the western most portion of the Golden Summit Project. The resource estimate was based on 49 previously drilled reverse circulation (RC) and diamond drill core holes totaling 5,966 meters. Using a 0.3 g/t cut-off the resource calculated was 174,000 ounces (7,790,000 tonnes @ 0.695 g/t) in the indicated category and 526,000 ounces (27,010,000 tonnes @ 0.606 g/t) in the inferred category.

A full NI 43-101 technical report on the Golden Summit Project entitled, "Geology and *Mineralization and Mineral Resource Estimate for the Golden Summit Project, Fairbanks Mining District, Alaska*" by David D. Adams, BSc, MSc, P. Geo. and Gary H. Giroux, P. Eng, MASc., dated March 31, 2011, was filed on SEDAR as "Amended & restated technical report (NI 43-101), on April 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011.

A Phase 1 diamond drilling program commenced in mid-February of 2011 on the Dolphin prospect and the areas covered by the 2010 induced polarization survey. This program was expanded in May and a total of 6,328 metres were completed by the end of June 2011 in 28 holes. The Dolphin area is located in the western portion of the Golden Summit property.

For all drill results, reference should be made to the full new releases contained on the Company's website at www.freegoldventures.com.

The drilling completed in 2011 on the Dolphin Zone was incorporated in a December 2011 NI 43-101 resource update.

The resource estimate was completed by Giroux Consultants Ltd. of Vancouver, BC, Canada. The indicated and inferred resource using a 0.3 and 0.35 g/t cut-off is:

	DOLI HIM ZONE				
	Au Cut-off		Au	Con	itained
	(g/t)	Tonnes	(g/t)	kgs Au	ozs Au
ĺ	0.30	17,270,000	0.62	10,620	341,000
ſ	0.35	14,840,000	0.66	9,820	316,000

#### DOLPHIN ZONE INDICATED RESOURCE

		Grade		
Au Cut-off		Au	Contained	
(g/t)	Tonnes	(g/t)	kgs Au	ozs Au
0.30	64,440,000	0.55	35,380	1,137,000
0.35	50,460,000	0.61	30,830	991,000

The resource estimate was based on 77 drill holes (11,802m) of which 6,238 were drilled in 2011 into the mineralized Dolphin stock, which is a multi-phase, felsic to intermediate intrusion. The stock has been mapped on surface and is approximately 366 metres by 610 metres (1,200 by 2,000 ft) in area and elongate in an east-west direction. The drilling in the 2011 program effectively doubled the previous NI 43-101 compliant resource. The resource was calculated using a block model with blocks measuring 10 by 10 by 5 metres in size that were populated using a combination of ordinary kriging and indicator kriging; blocks within the Dolphin mineralized solid were summed to arrive at the total tonnage and average grade.

The full NI 43-101 technical report that includes the December 2011 resource estimate, entitled "2011 Update Report on the Geology and Mineralization and Mineral Resource Estimate for the

*Golden Summit Project, Fairbanks Mining District, Alaska*" by David D. Adams, BSc, MSc, P. Geo. and Gary H. Giroux, P. Eng, MASc., dated January 26<sup>th</sup>, 2012, was filed on SEDAR as on January 26<sup>th</sup>, 2012.

Additional step-out and infill drilling was underway until late September this year with the objective of increasing the resource at Golden Summit. Drilling was undertaken on both the Dolphin and Cleary Hill areas. The program in the Cleary Hill area was designed to infill the 400 metre area that coincides with the intersection of the northwest-striking Cleary Hill zone and the northeast-striking Dolphin zone.

Drilling commenced in January and continued until the end of September at which point drilling ceased in order to complete a new NI 43-101 resource estimate on the Dolphin/Cleary Hill Zone. A total of 14,917 metres were drilled since January 2012 of which assays for 14,576 have now been reported. Assays for two holes outside the mineralized solid remain outstanding.

For all drill results, reference should be made to the full new releases contained on the Company's website at www.freegoldventures.com.

In addition to the drilling on the Golden Summit Project additional sampling, trenching and geophysics were also undertaken. Nearly 80% of the project area has now been covered and the remainder of the area will be completed in due course.

On October 31<sup>st</sup>, 2012 the Company reported its updated NI 43-101 resource for the Dolphin/Cleary Hill area. The resource estimate was completed by Giroux Consultants Ltd. of Vancouver, BC, Canada. The indicated and inferred resource using a 0.3 and 0.35 gram/tonne (g/t) cut-off is:

Au Cut-off			Con	tained
(g/t)	Tonnes	Au (g/t)	kgs Au	ozs Au
0.30	73,580,000	0.67	49,000	1,576,000
0.35	62,620,000	0.73	45,460	1,462,000

#### DOLPHIN ZONE INDICATED RESOURCE

#### DOLPHIN ZONE INFERRED RESOURCE

Ass Cost off			Grade		
Au Cut-off (g/t)		Au	Con	tained	
(37	Tonnes	(g/t)	kgs Au	ozs Au	
0.30	223,300,000	0.62	138,000	4,437,000	
0.35	191,920,000	0.67	127,630	4,103,000	

The mineralized Dolphin Solid has increased in volume by 254 % over the one used in the 2011 Estimate (Adams, Giroux, 2012). It has been deepened and extended to the north east to include the Cleary Hill area. This update of the NI 43-101 resource reported in January 2012 (Adams and Giroux, 2012) was based on an additional 74 drill holes completed in 2012 and an extension of the mineralized zone to the north and east. As a result the number of holes within the mineralized solid increased from the 77(11,802m) used in the 2011 estimate to 177 (35,829m) in this update.

The resource was calculated using a block model with blocks measuring 10 by 10 by 5 metres in size that were populated using a combination of ordinary kriging and indicator kriging; blocks within

the Dolphin/Cleary Hill mineralized solid were summed to arrive at the total tonnage and average grade. In the following tables a 0.30 g/t and 0.35 g/t are highlighted as possible open pit cut offs. No economic parameters were utilized in determining the cutoffs.

Au Cut-off Tonnes> Cut-off		Grade > Cut-off		
(g/t)	(tonnes)	Au	Con	tained
		(g/t)	kgs Au	ozs Au
0.20	101,530,000	0.55	55,940	1,799,000
0.25	86,660,000	0.61	52,600	1,691,000
0.30	73,580,000	0.67	49,000	1,576,000
0.35	62,620,000	0.73	45,460	1,462,000
0.40	53,410,000	0.79	42,030	1,351,000
0.50	39,360,000	0.91	35,740	1,149,000
0.60	29,180,000	1.03	30,170	970,000
0.70	22,040,000	1.16	25,570	822,000
0.80	16,720,000	1.29	21,590	694,000
0.90	12,760,000	1.43	18,220	586,000
1.00	9,960,000	1.56	15,580	501,000
1.10	7,910,000	1.70	13,430	432,000
1.20	6,270,000	1.84	11,550	371,000
1.30	5,000,000	1.99	9,960	320,000

### DOLPHIN ZONE INDICATED RESOURCE

## DOLPHIN ZONE INFERRED RESOURCE

Au Cut-off	Tonnes> Cut-off	es> Cut-off Grade > Cut-o			
(g/t)	(tonnes)	Au	Au Contain		
		(g/t)	kgs Au	ozs Au	
0.20	281,710,000	0.54	152,690	4,909,000	
0.25	253,830,000	0.58	146,210	4,701,000	
0.30	223,300,000	0.62	138,000	4,437,000	
0.35	191,920,000	0.67	127,630	4,103,000	
0.40	162,310,000	0.72	116,700	3,752,000	
0.50	113,800,000	0.83	94,910	3,051,000	
0.60	80,250,000	0.96	76,640	2,464,000	
0.70	56,540,000	1.08	61,290	1,970,000	
0.80	38,580,000	1.24	47,880	1,539,000	
0.90	25,770,000	1.44	37,030	1,191,000	
1.00	18,620,000	1.63	30,290	974,000	
1.10	14,430,000	1.80	25,900	833,000	
1.20	11,580,000	1.95	22,630	727,000	
1.30	9,400,000	2.12	19,920	640,000	

#### **Christina Area**

During the summer of 2011, a ground based geophysical program (induced polarization) was undertaken on the Christina area of the Golden Summit Project.

In addition to the drilling completed in the Dolphin/Cleary Hill areas, Freegold also completed its first drilling program in the Christina area, where a +5,000 foot long shear zone which hosts several past-producing high grade gold mines has been identified. Historic drilling on the Christina prospect from 1978 through 1988 focused on defining high grade resources on several northwest striking, southwest dipping vein systems. Given recent positive drill results at the Cleary Hill mine area, the Company is investigating the possibility that the Christina area also has the potential to host bulk tonnage mineralization that would be suitable for low cost open pit extraction. In 2011, 1,230 metres of drilling were completed in the Christina area and an additional 1,686 metres were completed since January 2012.

For all drill results, reference should be made to the new releases available on the Company's website at www.freegoldventures.com.

#### VINASALE PROJECT

In 2007, Freegold signed an Exploration with an Option to Lease agreement with Doyon Limited ("Doyon"), an Alaskan regional native corporation, on the Vinasale Gold Project. Vinasale is located 16 air miles south of McGrath, Alaska. The Vinasale deposit occurs in a north trending belt that hosts a number of igneous intrusion-related gold deposits including the 39.3 million ounce Donlin Creek deposit and the high grade Nixon Fork copper-gold deposit.

The Vinasale Mountain Gold Project is located on fee-simple lands owned by Doyon. In February of 2007 Freegold signed a Mining Exploration Agreement with an Option to Lease with Doyon, Limited aimed at exploring and developing the project. The agreement also allows for exploration of several adjacent areas owned or controlled by Doyon, including a large block of Doyon "selected" lands. Doyon controls the subsurface rights and most of the surface rights to all of these areas. Under the Exploration Agreement, Freegold must make payments of US \$320,000 (US\$50,000 first year) over five years, make annual scholarship donations of US\$10,000, and make exploration expenditures totaling US\$4,750,000 (US\$300,000 first year). Freegold may at its option enter into a one year extension by making a further payment of US\$100.000 and incurring an additional US\$1.500.000 in exploration expenditures which it elected to do in February 2012. In the event the property is reduced by 50% or more, the additional exploration expenditures shall be reduced to US\$1,000,000. Freegold may enter into one or more Mining Leases with Doyon on lands on which it has expended at least US\$600.000, carried out at least 10.000 ft of core drilling. and submitted a pre-feasibility study. Freegold will be required to make advance royalty payments and continue to conduct minimum exploration expenditures on leased lands until such time as a Board approved positive feasibility study has been delivered. Advance royalty payments shall be US\$100,000 per year during the initial five-year period, increasing to US\$250,000 per year thereafter. The minimum mandatory exploration expenditures shall be equal to the greater of US\$25/acre or US\$250,000 for each of the first five years, and US\$50/acre or US\$500,000 in subsequent years. Upon submission of a Board approved feasibility study Doyon will have the right, for a period of 180 days, to acquire a minimum 5%, and a maximum 10% participating interest in Freegold's interest. Within 60 days of Doyon electing to participate, Doyon shall contribute to a joint venture an amount equal to 2.25 times Doyon's proportionate share of Freegold's cumulative expenditures on the leased area after the Effective Date of the Lease. Following the expenditure of such funds, each party will be required to contribute its pro rata share of further expenditures.

In March 2011, the Company completed the first NI 43-101 resource estimate on the Vinasale Project using a cutoff value of 0.5 grams/tonne (g/t Au) as a possible open pit cut-off (the economic cut-off being unknown). This effort resulted in an inferred resource of 37.3 million tonnes averaging

1.11 g/t Au for 1,331,000 ounces. A full NI 43-101 technical report on the Vinasale Project entitled, "Geologic Summary and Mineral Resource Estimate Report for the Vinasale Gold Project, McGrath Mining District, Alaska" by David D. Adams, BSc, MSc, P. Geo. and Gary H. Giroux, P. Eng, MASc., dated March 31<sup>st</sup>, 2011, was filed on SEDAR as an "Amended & restated technical report (NI 43-101)" on April 1, 2011.

On January 20<sup>th</sup>, 2012, the Company paid US\$100,000 to Doyon to extend the lease on the Vinasale Property by one year and is required to incur an additional US\$1,500,000 in exploration expenditures.

#### Exploration 2011

In 2011, the Company undertook a 3,501 metre drilling program in addition to a ground geophysical survey (induced polarization) over the surface projection of the intrusive stock that is host to auriferous mineralization at Vinasale. Results of the induced polarization program indicate that in addition to the Central Zone, where all the drilling was conducted in 2011, a significant geophysical anomaly was defined in the North East Zone where limited historic drilling intersected mineralization of similar character as the Central Zone.

For all drill results, reference should be made to the full new releases contained on the Company's website at www.freegoldventures.com.

In March 2012, Freegold updated its NI 43-101 resource on the Vinasale Project based on the 3,501 metres of diamond drilling completed in 2011. The resource estimate was completed by Giroux Consultants Ltd. of Vancouver, B.C., Canada. The full NI 43-101 technical report that includes the March 2012 resource estimate, entitled, "Geologic Summary and Mineral Resource Estimate Report for the Vinasale Gold Project, McGrath Mining District, Alaska" by David D. Adams, B.Sc., M.Sc, P. Geo. and Gary H. Giroux, P. Eng, M.A.Sc., dated March 30, 2012, was filed on SEDAR on March 30, 2012.

Inferred resources are 49.3 million tonnes averaging 1.09 g/t Au for 1,735,000 ounces of gold utilizing a cut-off value of 0.5 grams/tonne as a possible open pit cut-off.

The addition of 13 drill holes drilled in 2011 and the expansion of the geologic solid model - increased the resource at a 0.5 g/t cut-off by 32.4 % on tonnage at essentially the same grade for a 30.4 % increase in contained ounces from the March 2011 resource estimate.

A total of 85 drill holes containing 10,945 gold assays were completed on the project within three areas: the Central, Northeast and South Zones. The highest density of drilling has been completed in the Central Zone where 41 drill holes totaling 9,948 metres were used in estimating the resource for the Central Zone. Gold assays were capped at 22.0 grams/tonne gold and were composited to 5 metre lengths based on semi-variograms models. Blocks measuring 10 by 10 by 5 metres were populated using Ordinary Kriging.

		Grade > Cut-off				
Au Cut-off	Tonnes> Cut-off	Au	Cor	ntained		
(g/t)	(tonnes)	(g/t)	kgs Au	ozs Au		
0.10	87,370,000	0.74	64,570	2,076,000		
0.15	80,380,000	0.79	63,740	2,049,000		
0.20	74,930,000	0.84	62,790	2,019,000		
0.25	70,040,000	0.88	61,640	1,982,000		
0.30	65,620,000	0.92	60,440	1,943,000		
0.40	57,350,000	1.00	57,580	1,851,000		
0.50	49,320,000	1.09	53,960	1,735,000		
0.60	42,250,000	1.19	50,110	1,611,000		
0.70	36,100,000	1.28	46,100	1,482,000		
0.80	30,840,000	1.37	42,160	1,355,000		
0.90	26,310,000	1.46	38,310	1,232,000		
1.00	22,420,000	1.54	34,620	1,113,000		
1.10	19,080,000	1.63	31,120	1,001,000		
1.20	16,110,000	1.72	27,710	891,000		
1.30	13,520,000	1.81	24,480	787,000		

Vinasale Central Zone Inferred Resource

Mineralization in the Central Zone extends over a strike length of 400 metres and remains open to the south, east and at depth.

#### Exploration 2012

The 2012 exploration program drill tested both the Central Zone as well as the North East Zone. A total of 13 holes (3,425 metres) were drilled during the 2012 exploration program. Results of the additional drilling in the Central Zone continue to demonstrate that the mineralization in the Central Zone remains open to expansion to the south, east and to depth. The wide spaced drilling carried out in the North East Zone (holes VM1201 and VM1204) indicated that additional drilling is warranted in this area as a possible resource expansion target.

For all drill results, reference should be made to the new releases available on the Company's website at www.freegoldventures.com.

#### **ROB PROJECT**

In August 2002, Freegold acquired the option to purchase a 100% interest in the Rob Project subject to cash, share payments and NSR's reserved by the property owner (Ronald O. Bailey) and vendor (Anglo Alaska Gold Corp.). Cash payments total US\$15,500 over 7 years to the vendor, \$93,500 over 7 years to the property owner, and share payments to the vendor of 500,000 shares (issued), 500,000 shares on or before July 1st, 2003 (issued) and 500,000 shares once Freegold has expended in excess of US\$1,000,000 on the property exploration (issued). The shares are subject to a 6 old shares for 1 new share consolidation that took effect on August 27, 2010. In addition, the property is subject to annual advance royalty payments of US\$30,000 commencing December 1st, 2008 if the London Gold Price for the preceding year averages less than US\$350/oz, US\$40,000 if the London Gold Price for the preceding year averages less than

US \$400/oz but greater than US\$350 and US\$50,000 if the London Gold Price for the preceding year averages more than US\$400/oz. The Company has paid US\$200,000 in advanced royalties. Freegold may purchase 100% of the Property for US\$1.5 million. The Property is subject to a 1% NSR if gold is less than US\$300 per oz, 1.5% NSR if gold prices between \$301 and \$350/oz, and 2% NSR for gold prices greater than US\$350 (collectively the "Bailey NSR"). Freegold has the right to purchase the Bailey NSR for US\$500,000 for each percentage point. In addition, Anglo Alaska retains a 1% NSR ("Anglo NSR"). Freegold may purchase the Anglo NSR for US\$1 million.

Shortly after Anglo Alaska Gold Corp. ("Anglo Alaska") entered into an agreement with the owner (Ronald O. Bailey), Mr. Bailey passed away intestate. Proceedings to administer the estate and establish the rights of the new underlying owner were initiated and resulted in the transfer of Mr. Bailey's ownership to Tibbs Creek Gold LLC. The transfer did not alter the existing performance terms of the original agreement on the property. Freegold Ventures Limited obtained a 100% interest in the underlying agreement with Anglo Alaska in August of 2008 and maintains that interest in good standing to date.

In March 2010, Freegold staked 141 State of Alaska mining claims covering 15,360 acres adjacent to the Rob property. These new claims bring the total Rob Project land holdings to 19,600 acres.

#### **Exploration 2011**

As the Company had significant exploration programs planned for the Golden Summit and Vinasale properties during 2011, exploration on the Rob Project was limited to a small drilling program to evaluate the Grey Lead and Michigan prospects, which are located on the Rob Project.

In September 2011, a 3-hole diamond drill program (909 m) was the first drilling ever completed in the Michigan area. The Michigan prospect is hosted in pervasively sericite altered granodiorite with sheeted and stockwork quartz-sulfide-gold veins covering a 2 to 3 square kilometer area.

Hole Number	Depth of Hole	of	From (ft)	To (ft)	Interval (ft)	Au g/t
Rob 11-02	1078		163	168	5	57.1
			408	419.5	11.5	7.04
			597.5	614	16.5	2.16
			1048	1078	30	2.58

Significant results from the drilling in the Michigan area are reported below:

Interval refers to drill hole intercepts, true widths have not been determined.

Other significant targets on the Rob Project include the Grey Lead area where previous drilling intersected multiple higher-grade intercepts such as 13 feet averaging 29 g/t, 14 feet of 8 g/t and 13.5 feet of 20 g/t. Several other vein structures have been identified in the vicinity of the Grey Lead and Michigan prospect area, some of which have coincident induced polarization anomalies associated with them. Additional exploration is warranted at Michigan, Grey Lead and other high priority targets located on the property.

Exploration on the Rob Project is not planned for 2012 as the Company will be undertaking significant exploration expenditures on its Golden Summit and Vinasale Projects.

The Qualified Person who has reviewed and approved the technical disclosure contained in this MD&A is Curtis Freeman, M.Sc., P.Geo., a consultant to the Company.

#### SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

The following selected financial information is derived from the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements of the Company prepared in accordance with IFRS:

	Sept. 30 <sup>th</sup>	June 30 <sup>th</sup>	Mar. 31 <sup>st</sup>	Dec. 31 <sup>st</sup>	Sept. 30 <sup>th</sup>	June 30 <sup>th</sup>	Mar. 31 <sup>st</sup>	Dec. 31 <sup>st</sup>
	2012	2012	2012	2011	2011	2011	2011	2010
Total revenues	\$Nil	\$Nil	\$Nil	\$Nil	\$Nil	\$Nil	\$Nil	\$Nil
Net comprehensive loss – before tax	400,986	683,808	439,996	2,522,009	438,278	1,700,931	518,308	1,539,222
Net comprehensive loss per share	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.04
Total assets	39,677,590	37,525,493	38,852,383	37,937,197	38,778,577	31,791,060	31,558,110	30,612,454

#### Quarters Ended (unaudited)

#### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

#### Nine month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012

The nine month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012 resulted in a net comprehensive loss of \$1,524,790, which compares with a net comprehensive loss of \$2,657,516 for the nine month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2011. General and administrative expenses for the nine month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012 were \$1,412,569, a decrease of \$1,118,904 compared to \$2,531,473 for the nine month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2011. The material changes in net comprehensive loss are mainly attributable to the following:

- a decrease of \$1,429,886 in non-cash share-based compensation expenses, from \$1,442,062 in 2011 to \$12,176 in 2012 as a result of the fact that more stock options were granted by the Company in 2011 than in 2012;
- an increase of \$59,957 in consulting fees, from \$118,878 in 2011 to \$178,835 in 2012 related to investor awareness campaigns and to assist in financing activity;
- an increase of \$40,385 in travel and transportation costs from \$205,892 in 2011 to \$246,277 in 2012 related to investor awareness campaigns and monitoring of the ongoing exploration activities in Alaska;
- an increase of \$57,150 in professional fees from \$97,606 in 2011 to \$154,756 in 2012 due to increased regulatory requirements;
- an increase of \$114,780 in wages from \$276,804 in 2011 to \$391,584 in 2012 due to annual 2011 bonuses paid being paid in 2012;
- an increase of \$2,608 in interest income from \$14,790 in 2011 to \$17,398 in 2012 due to the increase in cash on hand;
- a decrease of \$103,292 in mining equipment amortization from \$103,292 in 2011 to \$Nil in 2012 because no amortization is recognized as the mining equipment that was obtained for the Golden Summit Project in Alaska is being held for sale;
- a decrease of \$47,356 in interest paid from \$58,529 in 2011 to \$11,173 in 2012 as loans in the previous year were paid back to the lenders;
- a financing cost of \$156,802 was recognized as the Company reduced the exercise price on 3,567,728 share purchase warrants from \$0.75 to \$0.58 per warrant.

All other general and administrative costs were relatively similar to those incurred in the previous nine month period.

During the nine month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012, the Company incurred exploration and evaluation property expenditures of \$6,326,628. Of the deferred exploration costs, \$4,763,783 was incurred on the Golden Summit Project in Alaska of which \$448,090 was for assaying, \$2,621,294 was for drilling, \$186,042 was for engineering and consulting, \$626,533 was for field expenses, \$162,030 was for geophysical, \$24,165 was for property costs and \$695,629 was for personnel. On the Rob Project, \$20,353 in exploration costs were incurred of which \$17,654 was for assaying, \$2,119 was for engineering and consulting and \$580 was for personnel. These amounts were the residual costs to complete the 2011 drilling program. On the Vinasale Project, \$1,538,681 in exploration costs were incurred of which, \$57,951 was for assaying, \$563,748 was for drilling, \$41,174 was for engineering and consulting, \$251,574 was for field expenses, \$14,468 was for geophysical, \$381,642 was for helicopter support and \$228,124 was for personnel. Exploration and evaluation property fees of \$3,811 were in incurred on the Union Bay Project in Alaska.

Property acquisition costs of \$279,143 were incurred, which included \$169,517 for the Golden Summit Project and \$109,626 for the Vinasale Project.

#### *Three month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012*

The three month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012 resulted in a net comprehensive loss of \$400,986, which compares with a net comprehensive loss of \$438,278 for the three month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2011. General and administrative expenses for the three month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012 were \$410,346, an increase of \$15,802 compared to \$394,544 for the three month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2011. The material changes in net comprehensive loss are mainly attributable to the following:

- an increase of \$5,657 in consulting fees from \$34,363 in 2011 to \$40,020 in 2012 related to investor awareness campaigns and financing activity;
- a decrease of \$10,088 in travel and transportation costs from \$93,157 in 2011 to \$83,069 in 2012 related to investor awareness campaigns and monitoring of the ongoing exploration activities in Alaska;
- an increase of \$6,542 in professional fees from \$28,057 in 2011 to \$34,599 in 2012 due to increased regulatory requirements;
- an increase of \$11,796 in wages as a result the hiring of a part time secretary from \$87,294 in 2011 to \$99,090 in 2012;
- a decrease of \$32,739 in mining equipment amortization from \$32,739 in 2011 to \$Nil in 2012 because no amortization is recognized as the mining equipment that was obtained for the Golden Summit Project in Alaska is being held for sale;
- a decrease of \$14,915 in interest paid from \$18,400 in 2011 to \$3,485 in 2012 as loans in the previous year were paid back to the lenders;

All other general and administrative costs were relatively similar to those incurred in the previous three month period.

During the three month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012, the Company incurred exploration and evaluation property expenditures of \$2,272,008. Of the deferred exploration costs, \$1,356,784 was incurred on the Golden Summit Project in Alaska of which \$141,186 was for assaying, \$587,166 was for drilling, \$34,329 was for engineering and consulting, \$206,363 was for field

expenses, \$160,422 was for geophysical, \$19,355 was for property costs and \$207,963 was for personnel. No expenditures were incurred on the Rob Project. On the Vinasale Project, \$913,655 in exploration costs were incurred of which, \$57,951 was for assaying, \$563,748 was for drilling, \$14,513 was for engineering and consulting, \$61,335 was for field expenses, \$14,468 was for geophysical, \$131,217 was for property costs and \$70,423 was for personnel. Exploration and evaluation property fees of \$1,569 were in incurred on the Union Bay Project in Alaska.

Property acquisition costs of \$74,563 were incurred on the Golden Summit Alaska Project.

#### Liquidity and capital resources

At September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012, the Company's working capital, defined as current assets less current liabilities, was \$540,973 compared to working capital of \$5,750,646 at December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2011. The Company had current liabilities of \$1,591,400 mainly related to current and prior exploration work and \$67,554 in long term payables. Subsequent to the period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012, the Company received gross proceeds of \$1,235,934 on the exercise of warrants and options. The Company believes that it has sufficient working capital to meet all of its contractual and statutory property payment and exploration commitments for the current year, although further financing will be required to undertake all planned exploration activities. Further financing will be necessary in order to undertake all of the 2013 planned exploration activities.

During the nine month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012, 1,542,344 warrants were exercised for gross proceeds of \$894,540 and gross proceeds of \$1,910,696 were received on the closing of a 3,411,957 non-brokered private placement.

#### Critical accounting estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates used in the preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, the valuation of warrants, the assumptions used in the accounting for share-based payments, the provision for income taxes and composition of deferred tax assets and liabilities, the expected economic lives of and the estimated future operating results and net cash flows from mining interests, the anticipated costs of reclamation and closure cost obligations, and the fair value measurement of certain financial instruments.

A detailed summary of all of the Company's significant accounting policies is included in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31<sup>st</sup> 2011.

#### Going Concern Assumption

The recoverability of amounts shown for exploration and evaluation properties and related exploration and development expenditures is dependent upon the economic viability of recoverable reserves, the ability of the Company to obtain the necessary permits and financing to complete the development, and future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition thereof.

Currently, the Company does not have a source of revenue and is dependent on equity financings to fund its activities. The Company had positive working capital and cash balances at September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012 and the Company endeavors to manage the cash position prudently through ongoing monitoring of current and future cash and working capital balances relative to planned activities. The available capital is sufficient to fund the Company's planned exploration activities through the end of 2012.

#### Interests in Mining Properties and Exploration and Development Expenditures

In accordance with the Company's accounting policies, acquisition costs and exploration expenditures relating to exploration and evaluation properties are capitalized until the properties are brought into commercial production or disposed. Amortization will commence when a property is put into commercial production. As the Company does not currently have any properties in commercial production, no amortization has been recorded.

Mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates are not precise and also depend on statistical inferences drawn from drilling and other data, which may prove to be unreliable. Future production could differ from mineral resource estimates for the following reasons:

- mineralization or formation could be different from those predicted by drilling, sampling and similar tests;
- the grade of mineral resources may vary from time to time and there can be no assurance that any particular level of recovery can be achieved from the mineral resources; and
- declines in the market prices of contained minerals may render the mining of some or all of the Company's mineral resources uneconomic.

Any of these factors may result in impairment of the carrying amount of interests in mining properties or exploration and development expenditures.

#### Share Based Payments, Warrants and Compensation Options

Directors, officers, employees and contractors are granted options to purchase common shares under the Company Stock Option Plan. This plan and its terms and outstanding balance are disclosed in Note 10d to the condensed consolidated financial statements at September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012.

The Company recognizes an expense for option awards using the fair value method of accounting. The Company also records the fair value of warrants granted through private offerings or in lieu of fees and compensation options granted using a fair-value estimate. Management estimates the fair value of stock options, warrants granted through private offerings or in lieu of fees, and compensation options using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model. The Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model, used by the Company to calculate fair values, as well as other accepted option valuation models, was developed to estimate fair value of freely tradable, fully transferable options and warrants, which may significantly differ from the Company's stock option awards or warrant grants. These models also require four highly subjective assumptions, including future stock price volatility and expected time until exercise, which greatly affect the calculated values. Accordingly, management believes that these models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of the Company's stock option awards. The valuation models are used to provide a reasonable estimate of fair value given the variables used.

#### **Restoration and Environmental Obligations**

Legal or constructive obligations associated with site restoration on the retirement of assets are recognized when they are incurred and when a reasonable estimate of the value of the obligation can be made. While, the Company has not commenced operations on its mining properties and the principal projects are in the exploration stage, certain exploration activities have occurred that have given rise to a constructive obligation related to the reclamation of the site for the Golden Summit Project. As such, the Company has recognized an environmental rehabilitation provision for the project. Due to the uncertainty around the settlement date and measurement of potential asset retirement obligations for the Company's projects, management considers the assumptions used to calculate the present value of such liabilities at each reporting period and updates the value recognized as required.

#### **Contractual Commitments**

The Company is committed under exploration and evaluation property option agreements to pay cash and issue common shares of the Company and has outstanding and future commitments under loan agreements and long term account payables. See note 11 of the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements for the nine month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012 and the disclosures above under "Review of Exploration Projects".

#### **Off-balance sheet arrangements**

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

#### FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company classifies all financial instruments as either available-for-sale, financial assets or liabilities at fair value through profit or loss ("FVTPL"), loans and receivables or other financial liabilities. Loans and receivables and other financial liabilities are measured at amortized cost. Available-for-sale instruments are measured at fair value with unrealized gains and losses recognized in other comprehensive income or loss. These amounts will be reclassified from shareholders' equity to net income when the investment is sold or when the investment is impaired and the impairment is considered less than temporary. Instruments classified as FVTPL are measured at fair value with unrealized gains and losses recognized on the statement of loss and comprehensive loss.

The Company has designated its cash as FVTPL, which is measured at fair value. Short term and long term investments in other companies are classified as available-for-sale, which is measured at fair value. Trade payables and amounts due to related parties are classified as other financial liabilities which are measured at amortized cost.

#### **Credit Risk**

Credit risk is the risk of an unexpected loss if a customer or counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations and arises primarily from the Company's cash and cash equivalents and amounts receivable. The Company manages its credit risk relating to cash and cash equivalents by dealing only with highly-rated Canadian financial institutions. As at 30 September 2012, amounts receivable of \$19,496 (31 December 2011 - \$67,195) was comprised of Harmonized Sales Tax receivable of \$19,496 (31 December 201 - \$34,014), interest receivable of \$Nil (31 December 2011 - \$20,975). As a result, credit risk is considered insignificant.

#### Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. The Company manages liquidity risk by continuously monitoring actual and projected cash flows and matching the maturity profile of financial assets and liabilities. As the Company's financial instruments are substantially comprised of cash and cash equivalents, liquidity risk is considered insignificant.

#### **Currency Risk**

The Company is exposed to currency risk on its acquisition and exploration expenditures on its US properties since it has to convert Canadian dollars raised through equity financing in Canada to US

dollars. The Company's expenditures will be negatively impacted if the US dollar increases versus the Canadian dollar.

The majority of the Company's cash flows and financial assets and liabilities are denominated in Canadian dollars, which is the Company's functional and reporting currency. Foreign currency risk is limited to the portion of the Company's business transactions denominated in currencies other than the Canadian dollar. The Company has cash and cash equivalents held in US dollars.

The Company's objective in managing its foreign currency risk is to minimize its net exposures to foreign currency cash flows by holding most of its cash and cash equivalents in Canadian dollars. The Company monitors and forecasts the values of net foreign currency cash flow and balance sheet exposures and from time to time could authorize the use of derivative financial instruments such as forward foreign exchange contracts to economically hedge a portion of foreign currency fluctuations.

The following table provides an indication of the Company's foreign currency exposures during the periods ended 30 September 2012 and 31 December 2011:

	30 September 2012	31 December 2011
Cash and cash equivalents	US\$1,311,971	US\$ 703,639
Trade payables	US\$ 1,577,580	US\$ 889,136

A 1% change in Canadian/US foreign exchange rate at period end would have changed the net loss of the Company, assuming that all other variables remained constant, by approximately \$2,656 for the period ended 30 September 2012.

The Company has not, to the date of these condensed consolidated financial statements, entered into derivative instruments to offset the impact of foreign currency fluctuations.

#### Interest Risk

The Company is not subject to interest risk.

#### Commodity Price Risk

The Company is in the exploration stage and is not subject to commodity price risk.

#### OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA

On August 27<sup>th</sup>, 2010, the Company consolidated its share capital on a one new common share for every six existing common share basis. All common share and per share amounts herein have been adjusted where applicable to give retroactive effect to the share consolidation.

The Company is authorized to issue unlimited common shares without par value. As at November 14<sup>th</sup>, 2012, there were 68,120,275 outstanding common shares compared to 60,896,011 outstanding shares at December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2011.

The increase reflects the issuance of 3,812,307 shares for proceeds of \$2,127,224 issued on the exercise of warrants and 3,411,957 on the closing of the \$0.56 private placement for gross proceeds of \$1,910,696.

As at November 14<sup>th</sup>, 2012 there were 11,646,267 warrants outstanding.

	Number Outstanding on November 14 <sup>th</sup> , 2012	Price per Share	Expiry Date
	7,014,826	\$0.65	December 15 <sup>th</sup> ,2012
*	2,834,999		March $16^{\text{th}}$ , 2013
	1,746,442		February 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 2014
	50,000		February 15 <sup>th</sup> 2014
Total	11,646,267		

\*On March 17<sup>th</sup>, 2012, the exercise price on 2,834,999 warrants increased from \$0.59 per share to \$0.65 per share exercisable until March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2013.

Directors, officers, employees and contractors are granted options to purchase common shares under the Company Stock Option Plan. This plan and its terms and outstanding balance are disclosed in Note 10d to the condensed consolidated financial statements at September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012.

Number Outstanding December 31 <sup>st</sup>	Orantad	Frankissi	0	For the d	Number Outstanding on November	Exercise Price	Fundas Data
2011	Granted	Exercised	Cancelled	Expired	14 <sup>th</sup> , 2012	Per Share	Expiry Date
4,167	-	-	-	(4,167)	-	\$9.00	July 13 <sup>th</sup> , 2012
16,667	-	-	-	(16,667)	-	\$12.60	November 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2012
186,667	-	-	-	-	186,667	\$8.52	February 21 <sup>st</sup> , 2013
25,000	-	-	(25,000)	-	-	\$8.52	April 10 <sup>th</sup> , 2013
604,167	-	-	-	-	604,167	\$0.48	February 26 <sup>th</sup> , 2015
800,000	-	-	-	-	800,000	\$0.50	October 29 <sup>th</sup> , 2015
2,035,000	-	-	-	-	2,035,000	\$0.87	May 10 <sup>th</sup> , 2016
1,590,000	-	-	-	-	1,590,000	\$0.65	October 20 <sup>th</sup> , 2016
-	20,000	-	-	-	20,000	\$0.75	February 20 <sup>th</sup> , 2017
5,261,668	20,000	-	(25,000)	(20,834)	5,235,834		

#### **RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

The related party transactions during the nine month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012, which occurred in the normal course of operations and were measured at the exchange amount (the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties), were as follows:

- a) Effective 1 January 2005, each outside director was entitled to receive up to \$500 per month. Such payments have been discontinued in 2012. During the nine month period, \$Nil (2011 \$4,500) was paid to directors.
- b) During the nine month period, professional fees of \$66,200 (2011 \$66,100) were paid to a company controlled by the Chief Financial Officer.
- c) During the nine month period, consulting fees of \$24,300 (2011 \$13,860) were paid to a company controlled by the Corporate Secretary.
- d) During the nine month period, legal fees of \$14,110 (2011 \$7,998) were paid to a law firm of which a director is a partner.
- e) During the nine month period, salaries and benefits of \$181,250 (2011 \$152,916) were paid to the director, President and Chief Executive Officer. As at 31 March 2012, \$Nil (2011 \$29,930) related to this individual is included in due to related parties.

f) During the nine month period, salaries and benefits of \$181,250 (2011 - \$110,416) were paid to the director and Vice-President of Exploration and Development.

Key management personnel includes individuals having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the Company, including the directors, and any companies controlled by these parties.

These amounts were incurred in the ordinary course of business, are non-interest bearing, unsecured and due on demand unless otherwise noted. The above transactions are measured at fair value, which has been determined by negotiations amongst the parties.

#### DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The Company has established disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that information disclosed in this MD&A and the related condensed consolidated financial statements was properly recorded, processed, summarized and reported to the Company's Board and Audit Committee. The Company's CEO and CFO have evaluated and are satisfied with the effectiveness of these disclosure controls and procedures for the nine month period ending September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012.

The CEO and CFO acknowledge responsibility for the design of internal control over financial reporting (ICFR), and confirm that there were no changes in these controls that occurred during the most recent nine month period ended September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012 which materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's ICFR.

#### **RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES**

The Company believes that the following items represent significant areas for consideration.

#### **Cash Flows and Additional Funding Requirements**

The Company has limited financial resources, no sources of operating cash flows and no assurances that sufficient funding will be available.

#### Industry

The Company is engaged in the exploration of mineral properties, an inherently risky business. There is no assurance that funds spent on the exploration and development of a mineral deposit will result in the discovery of an economic ore body. Most exploration projects do not result in the discovery of commercially mineable ore deposits.

#### **Commodity Prices**

The success of the Company's operations will be dependent in part upon the market price of mineral commodities. Mineral prices fluctuate widely and are affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company. The prices of mineral commodities have fluctuated widely in recent years. Current and future price declines could cause commercial production to be impracticable.

#### Competition

The mining industry is intensely competitive in all of its phases, and the Company competes with many companies possessing greater financial resources and technical facilities than itself with respect to the discovery and acquisition of interests in mineral properties, the recruitment and retention of qualified employees and other persons to carry out its mineral exploration activities.

Competition in the mining industry could adversely affect the Company's prospects for mineral exploration in the future.

#### Foreign Political Risk

The Company's material property interests are currently located in the United States. A significant portion of the Company's interests are exposed to various degrees of political, economic and other risks and uncertainties. The Company's operations and investments may be affected by local political and economic developments, including expropriation, nationalization, invalidation of government orders, permits or agreements pertaining to property rights, political unrest, labour disputes, limitations on repatriation of earnings, limitations on mineral exports, limitations on foreign ownership, inability to obtain or delays in obtaining necessary mining permits, opposition to mining from local, environmental or other non-governmental organizations, government participation, royalties, duties, rates of exchange, high rates of inflation, price controls, exchange controls, currency fluctuations, taxation and changes in laws, regulations or policies as well as by laws and policies of Canada affecting foreign trade, investment and taxation.

#### Government Laws, Regulation & Permitting

Mining and exploration activities of the Company are subject to both domestic and foreign laws and regulations governing prospecting, development, production, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, mine safety, waste disposal, toxic substances, the environment and other matters. Although the Company believes that all exploration activities are currently carried out in accordance with all applicable rules and regulations, no assurance can be given that new rules and regulations will not be enacted or that existing rules and regulations will not be applied in a manner which could limit or curtail production or development. Amendments to current laws and regulations governing the operations and activities of the Company or more stringent implementation thereof could have a substantial adverse impact on the Company.

The operations of the Company will require licenses and permits from various governmental authorities to carry out exploration and development at its projects. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain the necessary licences and permits on acceptable terms, in a timely manner or at all. Any failure to comply with permits and applicable laws and regulations, even if inadvertent, could result in the interruption or closure of operations or material fines, penalties or other liabilities.

#### Title to Properties

Acquisition of rights to the exploration and evaluation properties is a very detailed and timeconsuming process. Title to, and the area of, exploration and evaluation properties may be disputed. Although the Company has made reasonable efforts to investigate the title to all of the properties for which it holds mineral leases or licenses or in respect of which it has a right to earn an interest, the Company cannot give an assurance that title to such properties will not be challenged or impugned.

The Company has the right to earn an interest in certain of its properties. To earn its interest in each property, the Company is required to make certain cash payments and incur certain exploration expenditures. If the Company fails to make these payments and incur such expenditures, the Company may lose its right to such properties and forfeit any funds expended to such time.

#### Estimates of Mineral Resources

The mineral resource estimates used by the Company are estimates only and no assurance can be given that any particular level of recovery of minerals will in fact be realized or that an identified resource will ever qualify as a commercially mineable (or viable) deposit which can be legally or commercially exploited. In addition, the grade of mineralization ultimately mined may differ from that indicated by drilling results and such differences could be material.

#### Key Management

The success of the Company will be largely dependent upon the performance of its key officers, consultants and employees. Locating mineral deposits depends on a number of factors, not the least of which is the technical skill of the exploration personnel involved. The success of the Company is largely dependent on the performance of its key individuals. Failure to retain key individuals or to attract or retain additional key individuals with necessary skills could have a materially adverse impact upon the Company's success.

#### Volatility of Share Price

Market prices for shares of early stage companies are often volatile. Factors such as announcements of mineral discoveries, financial results, and other factors could have a significant effect on the price of the Company's shares.

#### Foreign Currency Risk

A substantial portion of the Company's expenses and payables are now, and are expected to continue to be incurred in United States currency. The Company's business will be subject to risks typical of an international business including, but not limited to, differing tax structures, regulations and restrictions and general foreign exchange rate volatility. Fluctuations in the exchange rate between the Canadian dollar and United States dollar may have a material effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations and could result in downward price pressure for the Company's products in or losses from currency exchange rate fluctuations. The Company does not actively hedge against foreign currency fluctuations.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

Some of the Company's directors and officers are directors and officers of other natural resource or mining-related companies. These associations may give rise from time to time to conflicts of interest which will be subject to the procedures and remedies under the *Business Corporation Act* (*British Columbia*). As a result of any such conflict, the Company may miss the opportunity to participate in certain transactions.

#### OUTLOOK

As at November 14<sup>th</sup>, 2012, the Company has approximately \$1,430,000 in cash.

On October 31<sup>st</sup>, 2012 the Company announced an updated NI 43-101 compliant resource for its Golden Summit Project. This update incorporated 35,829 metres of drilling completed in the Dolphin/Cleary Hill areas and resulted in the expansion of the volume of the mineralized solid in the Dolphin/Cleary Hill area by 254%.

Although drilling at Golden Summit has ceased for the remainder of 2012, additional drilling is planned starting in early 2013 to expand upon the current NI 43-101 resource, which using a 0.30 g/t cutoff is 73,580,000 tonnes @ 0.67 g/t Au (1,576,000 ounces) in the indicated category and 223,300,000 tonnes @ 0.62 g/t Au (4,437,000 ounces) in the inferred category, as the resource remains open to further expansion. Drilling is also being planned for other areas of the project. In addition to the planned drill programs, additional metallurgical test work is currently underway.

At Vinasale, results from the 2012 program are currently being evaluated with the aim of completing additional drilling on the project in 2013. Additional drilling appears to be warranted in the North East Zone as well as the Central Zone.

On the Rob Project, three diamond drill holes were completed for a total of 3,000 feet in 2011. All of the drilling was completed in the Michigan area which had never been drilled. No exploration work was completed in 2012.

This discussion contains certain forward-looking information. This forward-looking information includes, or may be based upon, estimates, forecasts, and statements as to management's expectations with respect to, among other things, the size and quality of the Company's mineral resources, progress in development of mineral properties, amount and quality of metal products recoverable from the Company's mineral resources. Forward-looking information is based on the opinions and estimates of management at the date the information is given, and is subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking information. These factors include the inherent risks involved in the exploration and development of mineral properties, the uncertainties involved in interpreting drilling results and other geological data, fluctuating metal prices, the possibility of unanticipated costs and expenses, uncertainties relating to the availability and costs of financing needed in the future and uncertainties related to metal recoveries. Readers are cautioned to not place undue reliance on forward-looking information because it is possible that predictions, forecasts, projections and other forms of forward-looking information will not be achieved by the Company. These forward-looking statements are made as of the date hereof and the Company assumes no responsibility to update them or revise them to reflect new events or circumstances, except as required by law.

# FREEGOLD VENTURES LIMITED

(An Exploration Stage Company)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

30 September 2012 and 2011

#### MANAGEMENT'S COMMENTS ON UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### NOTICE OF NO AUDITOR REVIEW OF INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Under National Instrument 51-102, Part 4, subsection 4.3(3)(a), if an auditor has not performed a review of the interim financial statements, they must be accompanied by a notice indicating that the financial statements have not been reviewed by an auditor.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements of Freegold Ventures Limited (the "Company") have been prepared by and are the responsibility of the Company's management. The unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards and reflect management's best estimates and judgements based on information currently available.

The Company's independent auditor has not performed a review of these condensed consolidated interim financial statements in accordance with standards established by the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants for a review of interim financial statements by an entity's auditor.

## **Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position** (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

ASSETS	30 September 2012	31 December 2011 (Audited)
Current Cash and cash equivalents Amounts receivable Investments ( <i>Note 3</i> ) Prepaid expenses and deposits Assets held for sale ( <i>Note 4</i> )	\$ 1,735,048 19,496 20,000 143,747 214,082	\$ 6,529,149 67,195 20,000 109,434 254,625
Exploration and Evaluation Properties (Note 5) Property, Plant and Equipment (Note 6)	2,132,373 37,111,417 433,800	6,980,403 30,505,646 451,148
	\$ 39,677,590	\$ 37,937,197
LIABILITIES		
Current Trade payables (Note 7)	\$ 1,591,400	\$ 1,168,225 24,800
LIABILITIES Current Trade payables (Note 7) Accrued liabilities Due to related parties (Note 8)	\$ 1,591,400 - -	\$ 1,168,225 24,800 36,732
Current Trade payables (Note 7) Accrued liabilities Due to related parties (Note 8) Trade Payables – Long Term (Note 7)	\$ 1,591,400 - - 1,591,400 67,554 443,103	\$ 24,800
Current Trade payables (Note 7) Accrued liabilities	\$ - 1,591,400 67,554	\$ 24,800 36,732 1,229,757 67,554
Current Trade payables (Note 7) Accrued liabilities Due to related parties (Note 8) Trade Payables – Long Term (Note 7) Restoration and Environmental Obligations (Note 9)	\$ - 1,591,400 67,554 443,103 2,102,057 72,283,875 13,419,750	\$ 24,800 36,732 1,229,757 67,554 429,375 1,726,686 69,622,797 13,191,016
Current Trade payables (Note 7) Accrued liabilities Due to related parties (Note 8) Trade Payables – Long Term (Note 7) Restoration and Environmental Obligations (Note 9) EQUITY Share Capital (Note 10) Reserves	\$ - 1,591,400 67,554 443,103 2,102,057 72,283,875	\$ 24,800 36,732 1,229,757 67,554 429,375 1,726,686 69,622,797

Nature and Continuance of Operations (Note 1), Commitments (Note 11) and Subsequent Events (Note 15)

# Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

## (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

	Common Shares	Amount \$	Stock Options Reserve \$	Warrants Reserve \$	Other Reserve \$	Deficit \$	Total \$_
Balance – 31 December 2010	37,348,835	57,337,400	4,731,961	6,885,464	395,756	(41,423,776)	27,926,805
Issuance and allotment of shares for:							
- Private placements (Note 10a)	11,801,867	6,170,194	-	-	-	-	6,170,194
- Mineral properties (Notes 5a, 5c and 11)	750,000	270,000	-	-	(270,000)	-	-
- Exercise of warrants	7,168,521	4,885,768	-	(1,809,360)	-	-	3,076,408
- Exercise of options	254,166	226,500	(100,000)	-	-	-	126,500
- Value assigned to warrants (Notes 10a and 10c)	-	(2,990,448)	-	3,116,204	(125,756)	-	-
Share-based payments (Note 10d)	-	-	1,442,062	-	-	-	1,442,062
Share issuance costs	-	(200,698)	-	-	-	-	(200,698)
Loss for the period	-	-	-	-	-	(2,657,516)	(2,657,516)
Balance – 30 September 2011	57,323,389	65,698,716	6,074,023	8,192,308	-	(44,081,292)	35,883,755
Issuance and allotment of shares for:							
- Exercise of warrants	3,526,789	3,870,813	-	(1,914,528)	-	-	1,956,285
- Exercise of options	45,833	56,466	(24,966)	-	-	-	31,500
Share-based payments (Note 10d)	-	-	864,179	-	-	-	864,179
Share issuance costs	-	(3,198)	, - -	-	-	-	(3,198)
Loss for the year	-	-	-	-	-	(2,522,010)	(2,522,010)
Balance – 31 December 2011	60,896,011	69,622,797	6,913,236	6,277,780	-	(46,603,302)	36,210,511
Issuance and allotment of shares for:							
- Private placements (Note 10a)	3,411,957	1,910,696	-	-	-	-	1,910,696
- Exercise of warrants (Note 10b)	1,542,344	859,922	-	(179,989)	-	-	679.933
- Value assigned to warrants (Note 10b)	,- ,	,	-	396,547	-	-	396,547
Share-based payments (Note 10d)	-	-	12,176	-	-	-	12,176
Share issuance costs	-	(109,540)	,	-	-	-	(109,540)
Loss for the period	-	-	-	-	-	(1,524,790)	(1,524,790)
Balance – 30 September 2012	65,850,312	72,283,875	6,925,412	6,494,338	-	(48,128,092)	37,575,533

# Condensed Consolidated Statements of Loss and Comprehensive Loss (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

		3 Months Ended September 30 2012	3 Months Ended September 30 2011	9 Months Ended September 30 2012	9 Months Ended September 30 2011
General and Administrative Expenses					
Consulting fees (Note 8c)	\$	40,020	34,363	178,835	118,878
Share-based payments (Note 10d)		-	-	12,176	1,442,062
Travel		83,069	93,157	246,277	205,892
Promotion and shareholder relations		95,373	103,017	202,076	207,168
Director fees (Note 8a)		-	-	-	4,500
Professional fees (Notes 8b)		34,599	28,057	154,756	97,606
Wages, salaries and benefits (Note 8e and 8f)		99,090	87,294	391,584	276,804
Transfer and filing fees		30,348	27,557	125,936	111,517
Rent and utilities		8,876	6,696	28,579	19,986
Office and miscellaneous		8,491	12,899	41,094	42,235
Amortization		5,886	1,504	17,528	2,899
Accretion (Note 9)		4,594	-	13,728	1,926
Loss Before Other Income (Expenses)		(410,346)	(394,544)	(1,412,569)	(2,531,473)
Other Income (Expenses)					
Interest income		2,152	5,984	17,398	14,790
Amortization of mining equipment		-	(32,739)	-	(103,292)
Gain on insurance proceeds		-	-	-	80,332
Foreign exchange gain (loss), net		10,693	1,421	38,356	(59,344)
Interest, bank charges and loan arrangement fees		(3,485)	(18,400)	(11,173)	(58,529)
Financing cost (Note 10c)		-	-	(156,802)	-
		9,360	(43,734)	(112,221)	(126,043)
Net Comprehensive Loss for the Period	\$	(400,986)	(438,278)	(1,524,790)	(2,657,516)
·····	Ŧ	()	(	( ) ·)· • •)	(-,;;(0))
Loss per Share – Basic and Diluted	\$	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.06)
Comprehensive Loss per Share – Basic and Diluted	\$	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.06)
Weighted Average Number of Shares Outstanding		64,299,575	47,400,296	62,524,745	43,112,674

**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows** 

# For the Nine months Ended 30 September (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

Cash Resources Provided By (Used In)	2012	2011
Operating Activities		
Loss for the period	\$ (1,524,790) \$	(2,657,516)
Items not affecting cash:		( , , ,
Amortization	17,528	106,191
Accretion	13,728	1,926
Loss on sale of available-for-sale investments	-	-
Loss (gain) on sale of property, plant and equipment	-	(80,332)
Financing cost	156,802	-
Share-based payments	12,176	1,442,062
Unrealized foreign exchange gain	-	(3,963)
Net changes in non-cash working capital components:		
Proceeds on sale of assets held for sale	40,543	-
Amounts receivable	47,699	(46,580)
Prepaid expenses and deposits	(34,313)	(59,854)
Trade payables	(841,946)	(290,283)
Accrued liabilities	(22,254)	(14,985)
Due to related parties	 (36,732)	(416,749)
	 (2,171,559)	(2,020,083)
Investing Activities		
Exploration and evaluation property acquisition costs	(279,143)	(199,102)
Exploration and evaluation property deferred exploration costs	(5,061,645)	(3,716,830)
Proceeds of insurance equipment loss	-	130,846
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	 (3,311)	(239,833)
	 (5,344,099)	(4,024,919)
Financing Activities		
Share capital issued	2,805,236	9,373,102
Share issuance costs	(83,679)	(200,698)
	 2,721,557	9,172,404
Net Increase (decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(4,794,101)	3,127,402
Cash and cash equivalents - Beginning of year	6,529,149	4,818,038
Cash and Cash Equivalents - End of Period	\$ 1,735,048 \$	7,945,440
	,, +	,, -
Interest paid	\$ 3,408 \$	40,015
Interest received	\$ 17,398 \$	2,728
Income taxes paid	\$ - \$	-
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities		
Exploration expenditures included in trade payables	\$ (1,264,984) \$	(934,173)

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

#### 1. Nature and Continuance of Operations

Freegold Ventures Limited (the "Company") is in the process of acquiring, exploring and developing precious metal mineral properties. The Company will attempt to bring the properties to production, structure joint ventures with others, option or lease properties to third parties or sell the properties outright. The Company has not determined whether these properties contain ore reserves that are economically recoverable and the Company is considered to be in the exploration stage. The recoverability of the amounts expended by the Company on acquiring and exploring mineral properties is dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable reserves, the ability of the Company to complete the acquisition and/or development of the properties and upon future profitable production.

The head office, principal address and registered records office of the Company is Suite 888 – 700 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V7Y 1G5.

The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements as at 30 September 2012 and for the nine months then ended have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the settlement of liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business. The Company has a comprehensive loss of 1,524,790 for the nine months ended 30 September 2012 (30 September 2011 – 22,657,516) and has working capital of 540,973 at 30 September 2012 (31 December 2011 – 5,750,646).

The Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$1,735,048 at 30 September 2012 (31 December 201 - \$6,529,149), but management cannot provide assurance that the Company will ultimately achieve profitable operations or become cash flow positive, or raise additional debt and/or equity capital. If the Company is unable to raise additional capital in the immediate future, management expects that the Company will need to curtail operations, liquidate assets, seek additional capital on less favourable terms and/or pursue other remedial measures or cease operations. These condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments related to the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

On 27 August 2010, the Company consolidated its 101,153,306 old common shares, exchanging 6 (old) common shares for 1 (new) common share resulting in 16,858,863 new common shares outstanding. All common shares and per share amounts have been adjusted to give retroactive effect to the 6:1 share consolidation that took effect on 27 August 2010.

#### 2. Significant Accounting Policies

The condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries (the "Group") have been prepared in accordance with International Accounting Standards ("IAS") 34, "*Interim Financial Reporting*" using accounting policies consistent with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and interpretations of the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee ("IFRIC").

These condensed interim financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting policies and methods of computation consistent with those applied in the Company's annual financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2011.

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

#### a) Consolidation

These condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company's wholly owned subsidiaries, Free Gold Recovery, USA, Freegold Ventures Limited, USA, Ican Minerals, Inc., Dolphin Gold, Inc., Grizzly Bear Gold Inc. and McGrath Gold Inc. All subsidiaries are US corporations which are involved in exploration and evaluation of properties. Intercompany balances are eliminated upon consolidation.

#### b) Significant Accounting Judgments, Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and reported amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates and assumptions are continuously evaluated and are based on management's experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. However, actual outcomes can differ from these estimates.

Areas requiring a significant degree of estimation and judgment relate to the recoverability of the carrying value of exploration and evaluation assets, fair value measurements for financial instruments and share-based payments, the recognition and valuation of provisions for restoration and environmental liabilities, the recoverability and measurement of deferred tax assets and liabilities, and ability to continue as a going concern. Actual results may differ from those estimates and judgments.

#### c) New Accounting Standards and Interpretations Not Yet Adopted

At the date of authorization of these financial statements, the IASB and IFRIC have issued the following new and revised standards and interpretations which are not yet effective.

- IFRS 9, "*Financial Instruments: Classification and Measurement*" effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2015, with early adoption permitted, introduces new requirements for the classification and measurement of financial instruments.
- IFRS 10, "Consolidated Financial Statements" effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013 establishes principles for the presentation and preparation of consolidated financial statements when an entity controls one or more other entities.
- IFRS 11, "Joint Arrangements" effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013 provides for a more realistic reflection of joint arrangements by focusing on the rights and obligations of the arrangement, rather than its legal form.
- IFRS 12, "*Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities*" effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013 requires the disclosure of information that enables users of financial statements to evaluate the nature of, and risks associated with its interests in other entities and the effects of those interests on its financial position, financial performance and cash flows.

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

- IFRS 13, "*Fair Value Measurement*" effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013 provides guidance on the measurement of fair value and related disclosures through a fair value hierarchy.
- IAS 1, (Amendment) "*Presentation of Financial Statements*" effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 July 2012, includes amendments regarding presentation of items of other comprehensive income.
- IAS 19, (Amendment) "*Employee Benefits*" effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013, revises recognition and measurement of post-employment benefits.
- IAS 27, (Amendment) "Separate Financial Statements" effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013, prescribes the accounting for investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates when an entity prepares separate financial statements.
- IAS 28, (Amendment) "Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures" effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013, prescribes the accounting for investments in associates and sets out the requirements for investments in associates and joint ventures.
- IFRIC 20, "*Stripping Costs in the Production Phase of a Producing Mine*" effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013, prescribes the accounting for stripping costs in the production of a surface mine.

The Company has not early adopted these standards, amendments and interpretations; however, the Company is currently assessing the impact of these standards or amendments on the financial statements of the Company.

#### 3. Investments

As at 30 September 2012, investments include a non-redeemable guaranteed investment certificate of \$20,000 (31 December 2011 - \$20,000) maturing on 11 February 2013, which is classified as held-to-maturity.

#### 4. Assets Held For Sale

	3	0 September 2012	31 December 2011	
Property, plant and equipment	\$	214,082	\$ 254,625	

As at 31 December 2011, the Company classified its remaining mining equipment as assets held for sale as it no longer has a use for this equipment *(Note 6)*. The Company is actively pursuing the disposal of its remaining mining equipment and expects to dispose of these assets.

During the nine months ended 30 September 2012, the Company sold \$40,543 assets held for sale.

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

## 5. Exploration and Evaluation Properties

		Golden Summit		Rob		Vinasale		Union Bay		
		Property		Property		Property		Property		Total
Acquisition costs										
Balance, 31 December 2010	\$	1,010,541	\$	1,055,941	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,066,482
Additions		289,806		49,455		84,074		-		423,335
Balance, 31 December 2011	\$	1,300,347	\$	1,105,396	\$	84,074	\$	-	\$	2,489,817
Exploration and evaluation costs										
Balance, 31 December 2010	\$	16,879,808	\$	2,440,122	\$	2,173,087	\$	74,964	\$	21,567,981
Assaying		261,555		306		46,564		-		308,425
Drilling		1,932,455		183,131		428,629		-		2,544,215
Engineering and consulting		92,858		44,023		91,607		-		228,488
Geological and field expenses		415,063		122,460		252,349		-		789,872
Geophysical		337,657		, _		107,123		-		444,780
Helicopter support		-		305,006		286,454		-		591,460
Exploration and evaluation				,						,
properties fees		56,618		31,117		19,940		6,459		114,134
Personnel		831,453		104,704		256,167		-		1,192,324
Increase in restoration and										
environmental obligation		257,634		-		-		-		257,634
Revision of restoration and		(22.404)								(22.494)
environmental obligations Balance, 31 December 2011	\$	(23,484) 21,041,617	\$	3,230,869	\$	3,661,920	\$	81,423	\$	(23,484) 28,015,829
Datalice, 31 December 2011	φ	21,041,017	φ	5,250,009	ψ	5,001,920	φ	01,423	φ	20,010,029
Total	\$	22,341,964	\$	4,336,265	\$	3,745,994	\$	81,423	\$	30,505,646

		Golden Summit Property	Rob Property	Vinasale Property	Union Bay Property	Total
Acquisition costs						
Balance, 31 December 2011	\$	1,300,347	\$ 1,105,396	\$ 84,074	\$ -	\$ 2,489,817
Additions		169,517	-	109,626	-	279,143
Balance, 30 September 2012	\$	1,469,864	\$ 1,105,396	\$ 193,700	\$ -	\$ 2,768,960
Exploration and evaluation costs						
Balance, 31 December 2011	\$	21,041,617	\$ 3,230,869	\$ 3,661,920	\$ 81,423	\$ 28,015,829
Assaying		448,090	17,654	57,951	-	523,695
Drilling		2,621,294	-	563,748	-	3,185,042
Engineering and consulting		186,042	2,119	41,174	-	229,335
Geological and field expenses		626,533	-	251,574	-	878,107
Geophysical		162,030	-	14,468	-	176,498
Exploration and evaluation		,				
properties fees		24,165	-	-	3,811	27,976
Helicopter support		-	-	381,642	-	381,642
Personnel		695,629	580	228,124	-	924,333
Balance, 30 September 2012	\$	25,805,400	\$ 3,251,222	\$ 5,200,601	\$ 85,234	\$ 34,342,457
Tota	ıl \$	27,275,264	\$ 4,356,618	\$ 5,394,301	\$ 85,234	\$ 37,111,417

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

#### a) Golden Summit Property, Alaska, USA

#### Fairbanks Exploration Inc.

By various agreements dated from 1 December 1992 to 9 May 1997, the Company acquired from Fairbanks Exploration Inc. ("FEI") certain mineral claims in the Fairbanks Mining District of Alaska known as the Golden Summit Property, subject to a 7% working interest held in trust for FEI by the Company on certain mineral claims. The property is controlled by the Company through long-term lease agreements or outright claim ownership. As consideration, the Company issued 20,833 shares and expended US\$1,767,000 on the property before 2000 to FEI. The Company is also required to make all underlying lease payments.

The Company will fund 100% of the project until commercial production is achieved at which point FEI will be required to contribute 7% of any approved budget. The property is subject to a 2% Net Smelter Royalty ("NSR") to FEI, unless otherwise noted. The Company has a 30 day right of first refusal in the event that the 7% working interest of FEI or the NSR is to be sold. The Company can also purchase the NSR at any time following commercial production, based on its net present value as determined by mineable reserves.

By agreement dated 31 December 2009, the Company entered into an option agreement with Western Standard Metals Ltd. ("Western") whereby Western could have earned a 50% interest in the Golden Summit Property. As consideration, Western paid \$300,000 and was to incur exploration and development expenditures of US\$5,750,000 over 4 years in order to vest with a 50% interest.

On 6 July 2010, the Company and Western terminated the Golden Summit Option Agreement. In consideration for the early termination and in recognition of the cash payments made by Western, the Company agreed to issue Western common shares of the Company valued at \$69,201, which has been recorded as a reimbursement of exploration and evaluation properties expenditures *(Note 10a).* 

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

#### (i) Keystone Claims

By an agreement dated 17 May 1992, the Company entered into an agreement with Keystone whereby the Company agreed to make advance royalty payments of US\$15,000 per year. In May 2000, the agreement was renegotiated and on 15 October 2000, a \$50,000 signing bonus was paid. On 30 November 2001, the Company restructured the advance royalty payments as follows:

		US Funds	
1992 – 1998 (US\$15,000 per year) 2000	\$ \$	105,000 50,000	(paid) (\$25,000 paid in cash and \$25,000 with 9,816 treasury shares issued)
2001 - 2006 (US\$50,000 per year) 2007 2008	\$ \$ \$	300,000 150,000 150,000	(paid) (paid) (paid \$75,000 in 2008 with the remaining \$75,000 paid in 2009, subject to a payment extension)
2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 – 2019 (US\$150,000 per year)	\$\$\$\$	150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 1,050,000	(paid) (paid) (paid) (paid \$75,000)

In 2011, the Company negotiated an extension of the lease as long as there is either permitting, development mining or processing is being conducted on a continuous basis or advance royalties are being paid, the lease shall be renewable for successive 10 year terms.

#### (ii) Newsboy Claims

By lease agreement dated 28 February 1986 and amended 26 March 1996, the Company assumed the obligation to make advance royalty payments of US\$2,500 per year until 1996 (paid) and US\$5,000 per year until 2006 (paid). During 2006, the Company renewed the existing lease term for an additional 5 years on the same terms and conditions. These payments are current. The claims are subject to a 4% NSR. The Company has the option to purchase the NSR for the greater of the current value or US\$1,000,000 less all advance royalty payments made.

During the previous period, the Company agreed to an amended lease agreement, which is subject to final execution. Under the amended agreement, the lease term will be extended for a five year term, from 1 March 2011 to 29 February 2016. The minimum royalty payable under the amended lease will be US\$12,000 per year for the term of the lease. In addition, the Company will have the option to further extend the lease for a term of an additional 5 years by making a one-time payment of US\$50,000.

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

#### (iii) Tolovana Claims

In May 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with a third party (the "Seller") whereby the Seller transferred 100% of the rights via Quit Claim Deed to a 20-year lease on the Tolovana Gold Property in Alaska.

Under the terms of the agreement, the Company assumed all of the Seller's obligations under the lease, which include making annual payments of \$1,000 per month for the first 23 months increasing to \$1,250 per month for the 24th to the 48th months and increasing to \$1,500 after the 49th month and for the duration of the lease. These payments are current.

The property is subject to a sliding scale NSR as follows: 1.5% NSR if gold is below US\$300 per ounce, 2.0% NSR in the event the price of gold is between US\$300 to US\$400, and 3.0% NSR in the event that the price of gold is above US\$400. In addition, the Company made a cash payment of US\$7,500 on signing and issued 66,667 shares on regulatory approval. An additional 33,333 shares were to be issued within 30 days of a minimum 200,000 ounce mineral resource being calculated on the property if the resource is established in five years or less from the date of the agreement. No resource was calculated during the prescribed time frame so these shares were not issued.

#### (iv) Green Claims

By lease agreement dated 16 December 2010, the Company acquired from Christina Mining Company, LLC ("CMC") certain mineral claims in the Fairbanks Mining District of Alaska known as the Green Property. The property is controlled by the Company through a long-term lease agreement. The Company must make annual cash payments and exploration expenditures as follows:

	Payments	Exploration Expenditures
1 December 2010	US\$100,000 (paid)	-
1 December 2011	US\$100,000 (paid)	US\$250,000 (incurred)
1 December 2012	US\$100,000	US\$500,000 (incurred)
1 December 2013	US\$100,000	US\$750,000
1 December 2014	US\$100,000	US\$1,000,000
1 December 2015 to 2019	US\$100,000 per year	-
1 December 2020 to 2029	US\$200,000 per year	-
Total	US\$3,000,000	US\$2,500,000

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

#### (v) Chatham Claims

By lease agreement dated 11 July 2011, the Company acquired certain mineral claims in the Fairbanks Mining District of Alaska known as the Chatham Property. The property is controlled by the Company through a four year lease agreement. The Company must make annual cash payments and exploration expenditures as follows:

	Payments	Exploration Expenditures
Execution of agreement	US\$20,000 (paid)	-
11 July 2012	US\$30,000 (paid)	US\$50,000 (incurred)
11 July 2013	US\$40,000	US\$50,000
11 July 2014	US\$50,000	US\$50,000
11 July 2015	-	US\$50,000
Total	US\$140,000	US\$200,000

The Company has the option to purchase one-half of the NSR representing one percent for US\$750,000.

The Company also has the option to purchase the property for US\$750,000.

#### (vi) Alaskan Trust Property

The Company acquired a new mining lease over certain mineral claims in the Fairbanks Mining District of Alaska known as the Alaskan Trust Property. The property will be controlled by the Company through a three year lease agreement and may be extended for two extensions of three years. The Company will be required to make annual cash payments and exploration expenditures as follows:

	Payments	Exploration Expenditures
Execution of agreement	US\$25,000 (paid)	-
Years 1-3	US\$2,000 per year	US\$25,000 per year
Years 4-6	US\$3,000 per year	US\$50,000 per year
Years 7-9	US\$4,000 per year	US\$75,000 per year
Total	US\$52,000	US\$450,000

The claims will be subject to the following NSR:

Price of Gold (per ounce)	Net Royalty
US\$500 or below	1.0%
US\$500.01 - US\$700.00	2.0%
US\$700.01 - US\$900.00	3.0%
US\$900.01 - US\$1,200.00	3.5%
above US\$1,200.00	4.5%

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

### b) Rob Property, Alaska, USA

By agreement dated 9 July 2002, the Company has the option to earn a 100% interest in a 20year lease on certain mineral claims located in the Good Paster Mining District, Alaska, known as the Rob Property.

As consideration, the Company was required to make cash payments of US\$80,000 (paid) for an underlying agreement with the vendor.

In addition, the Company paid US\$29,000 and issued 166,667 shares. The Company is also required to expend a total of US\$1,000,000 in exploration expenditures on the property prior to 31 December 2008 (completed).

During a prior year, the Company reached the required US\$1,000,000 level of cumulative expenditures on the property and issued an additional 83,333 shares of the Company valued at \$305,000. In addition, the vendor retains a 1% NSR which the Company may purchase for US\$1,000,000.

The underlying lease holder shall retain a NSR, which shall vary according to the London gold price for the preceding six-month period as follows: 1% for gold prices less than US\$300 per ounce, 1.5% for gold prices between US\$301 and US\$350, and 2% for gold prices greater than US\$350. The NSR may be purchased for US\$500,000 for each percentage point. An undivided 100% interest in the property may be purchased for US\$1,500,000.

Commencing 1 December 2008, annual advance royalty payments must be made to the vendor depending on the average gold price for the preceding year as follows:

Gold Price Per Ounce Annual Royalty Payment	
Less than US\$350 US\$30,000   US\$350 to US\$400 US\$40,000   More than US\$400 US\$50,000	

These payments are current.

During a prior year, the Company staked a further 141 State of Alaska mining claims covering 15,360 acres adjacent to the property. These new claims bring the total property land holdings to 19,600 acres. The staked claims are 100% owned and not subject to any royalties.

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

### c) Vinasale Property, Alaska, USA

By agreement dated 28 February 2007 and as amended on 18 January 2010, the Company entered into a mineral exploration agreement with an option to lease from Doyon Limited ("Doyon"), an Alaskan Native Corporation on the Vinasale property in central Alaska. The Company must make annual cash payments and incur exploration expenditures as follows:

Option Year Commencing	Payments	Exploration Expenditures
28 February 2007	US\$50,000 (Paid)	US\$300,000 (incurred)
1 February 2008	US\$70,000 (Paid)	US\$700,000*
1 February 2009	US\$75,000 (Paid)	US\$1,000,000**
1 February 2010	US\$80,000 (Paid)	US\$1,500,000 (incurred)
1 February 2011	US\$85,000 (Paid)	US\$1,500,000 (incurred)
Total	US\$360,000	US\$5,000,000

On 20 January 2012, the Company paid US\$100,000 to extend the lease by one year and is required to incur an additional US\$1,500,000 in exploration expenditures. In the event the property is reduced by 50% or more, the additional exploration expenditures shall be reduced to US\$1,000,000.

\* During 2008, the Company requested that Doyon consider deferring the remaining 2008 expenditures to 2009, 2010 and 2011 in exchange for an additional contribution of US\$20,000 to the scholarship fund and Doyon agreed to such request.

\*\* By amendment dated 18 January 2010, Doyon agreed to waive the 2009 exploration expenditures. As consideration, the Company agreed to place US\$300,000 in an escrow account by 15 April 2010. The funds were to be released upon mobilization of a drill rig to the property. These funds were released in July 2010. In addition, the Company is required to place in the escrow account US\$300,000 by 15 April 2011 and US\$300,000 by 15 April 2012 on the same terms unless the parties agree otherwise.

By agreement dated 31 December 2009, the Company optioned to Western up to a 50% interest in the Vinasale Property. In consideration, Western paid \$350,000 and was to incur exploration and development expenditures of US\$6,500,000 over 3 years.

On 6 July 2010, the Company and Western terminated the Vinasale Option Agreement. In consideration of the early termination and recognition of the cash payments and exploration expenditures incurred by Western, the Company has agreed to issue Western common shares of the Company valued at \$200,799, which has been recorded as a reimbursement of exploration and evaluation properties expenditures (*Note 10a*).

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

### d) Union Bay Property, Alaska, USA

The Company acquired certain mineral claims known as the Union Bay Property, in Alaska, USA, by way of staking.

By agreement dated 1 October 2002 and amended 2 April 2003, the Company granted to Pacific North West Capital Corp. ("PFN"), a company that previously had certain directors in common, an option to earn a 70% interest in the property by purchasing a private placement of \$165,000 (2002) and making cash payments of \$100,000 (received), issuing 60,000 shares (received) and incurring exploration expenditures of \$1,000,000 (completed).

PFN vested with a 50% interest on 1 July 2006 and accordingly issued 253,586 shares pursuant to the agreement. Following vesting, PFN had the right to elect within 45 days to increase its interest to 60% by completing a feasibility study within 12 months of having vested. This election was not made.

By Memorandum of Agreement dated 4 May 2007, the Company and PFN confirmed their 50:50 interest in the property.

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

### 6. Property, Plant and Equipment

	Automotive	Office Equipment	Exploration Office	Mining Equipment	Land	Total
Costs						
Balance, 31 December 2010	\$ -	-	-	1,419,808	1,603,996	\$ 3,023,804
Additions	32,597	17,600	188,558	-	-	238,755
Dispositions	-	-	-	(61,527)	-	(61,527)
Write down	-	-	-	-	(1,377,478)	(1,377,478)
Re-classification	-	-	-	(1,358,281)	-	(1,358,281)
Balance, 31 December 2011	\$ 32,597	17,600	188,558	-	226,518	\$ 465,273
Accumulated Depreciation						
Balance, 31 December 2010	\$ -	-	-	(927,723)	-	\$ (927,723)
Depreciation	(4,889)	(4,403)	(4,833)	-	-	(14,125)
Re-classified to "assets held for sale"	-	- -	<u>-</u>	927,723	-	927,723)
Balance, 31 December 2011	\$ (4,889)	(4,403)	(4,833)	-	-	\$ (14,125)
Net Book Value	\$ 27,708	13,197	183,725	-	226,518	\$ 451,148

	Automotive	Office Equipment	Exploration Office	Land	Total
Costs					
Balance, 31 December 2011	\$ 32,597	17,600	188,558	226,518	\$ 465,273
Additions	-	3,312	-	-	3,312
Dispositions	-	-	-	-	-
Balance, 30 September 2012	\$ 32,597	20,912	188,558	226,518	\$ 468,585
Accumulated Depreciation					
Balance, 31 December 2011	\$ (4,889)	(4,403)	(4,833)	-	(14,125)
Depreciation	(6,234)	(4,408)	(10,018)	-	(20,660)
Balance, 30 September 2012	\$ (11,123)	(8,811)	(14,851)	-	\$ (34,785)
Net Book Value	\$ 21,474	12,101	173,707	226,518	\$ 433,800

During the year ended 31 December 2011, the Company transferred property, plant and equipment with a net book value of \$430,557 to assets held for sale (*Note 4*).

### 7. Trade Payables

During the prior year, the Company wrote off trade payables in the amount of \$27,587 (2010 - \$397,556). Management does not consider that these amounts are payable although there is no assurance that a formal claim will not be made against the Company for some or all of these balances in the future.

During a prior year, the Company entered into a debt settlement agreement with one of its vendors. Under the terms of the agreement, the amount owing to the vendor was to be repaid in cash over

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

three years and a portion was to be repaid in shares (issued). The cash portion will bear interest at 6%.

During a prior year, the Company entered into an agreement with one of its vendors to repay the amount payable over five years. The portion of this amount that will be repaid in the fifth year (2013) has been recorded as trade payables – long term.

### 8. Related Party Balances and Transactions

A summary of key management compensation is as follows:

	Three	Three	Nine	Nine
	months	months	months	months
	ended 30 September	ended 30 September	ended 30 September	ended 30 September
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	 \$	\$	\$	\$
Short-term benefits	\$ 114,100	116,565	467,110 \$	355,790
Share-based payments	 -	-	-	1,242,500
Total	\$ 114,100	116,565	467,110 \$	1,598,290

Except as noted elsewhere in these condensed consolidated financial statements, key management personnel transactions are as follows:

- a) Effective 1 January 2005, each outside director was entitled to receive up to \$500 per month. Such payments have been discontinued in 2012. During the period, \$Nil (2011 - \$4,500) was paid to directors.
- b) During the period, professional fees of \$66,200 (2011 \$66,100) were paid to a company controlled by the Chief Financial Officer.
- c) During the period, consulting fees of \$24,300 (2011 \$13,860) were paid to a company controlled by the Corporate Secretary.
- d) During the period, legal fees of \$14,110 (2011 \$7,998) were paid to a law firm of which a director is a partner.
- e) During the period, salaries and benefits of \$181,250 (2011 \$152,916) were paid to the director, President and Chief Executive Officer. As at 30 September 2012, \$Nil (2011 - \$29,930) related to this individual is included in due to related parties.
- f) During the period, salaries and benefits of \$181,250 (2011 \$110,416) were paid to a director and the Vice-President of Exploration and Development.

Key management personnel includes individuals having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the Company, including the directors, and any companies controlled by these parties.

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

These amounts were incurred in the ordinary course of business, are non-interest bearing, unsecured and due on demand unless otherwise noted. The above transactions are measured at fair value, which has been determined by negotiations amongst the parties.

### 9. Restoration and Environmental Obligations

The Company's restoration and environmental obligations consist of reclamation and closure costs for the Golden Summit Property (*Note 5a*). The present value of the estimated obligations relating to properties is \$443,103 (31 December 2011 - \$429,375) using discount rates at which cash flows have been discounted by 4.25%. Significant reclamation and closure cost activities include, land rehabilitation, ongoing care and maintenance and other costs.

The undiscounted reclamation and closure cost obligation at 30 September 2012 is \$508,500 (US\$500,000) (31 December 2011 - \$508,500 (US\$500,000)) and the cash outflows are expected to occur in 2015.

Movements in the reclamation and closure cost balance during the period are as follows:

	30 September 2012 \$	31 December 2011 \$
Balance, beginning of year Addition Accretion	\$ 429,375 - 13,728	\$ 188,221 257,634 7,851
Revision of Golden Summit Property reclamation costs Foreign exchange difference	-	(23,484) (847)
Balance, end of period	\$ 443,103	\$ 429,375

### 10. Share Capital

### a) Share Issuances and Other

On 2 August 2012, the Company closed a non-brokered private placement of 3,411,957 units at \$0.56 per unit for proceeds of \$1,910,696. Each unit consists of one common share and one half non-transferable share purchase warrant. 1,655,977 warrants were issued to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.65 per share exercisable until 2 February 2014 and 50,000 warrants were issued to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.65 per share exercisable until 15 February 2014. 115,465 broker warrants were issued that entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.65 per share exercisable until 2 February 2014. 115,465 broker warrants were issued that entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.65 per share exercisable until 2 February 2014. 115,465 broker warrants were issued that entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.65 per share exercisable until 2 February 2014. 115,465 broker warrants were issued that entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.65 per share exercisable until 2 February 2014. 1000 warrants were issued that entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.65 per share exercisable until 2 February 2014. (Note 10c).

On 22 August 2011, the Company closed a non-brokered private placement of 7,218,536 units at \$0.55 per unit for proceeds of \$3,970,195. Each unit consists of one common share and one half non-transferable share purchase warrant. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.75 per share exercisable until 22 August 2012 (*Note 10c*).

#### Freegold Ventures Limited (An Exploration Stage Company)

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

On 16 March 2011, the Company closed a non-brokered private placement of 4,583,331 units at \$0.48 per unit for proceeds of \$2,199,999. Each unit consists of one common share and one non-transferable share purchase warrant. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.59 per share exercisable until 16 March 2012 and at a price of \$0.65 per share exercisable until 16 March 2013 (*Note 10c*).

On 18 January 2011, the Company issued 750,000 common shares pursuant to the 6 July 2010 settlement agreement with Western Standard related to the early termination of both the Vinasale and Golden Summit Option Agreements. These shares were recorded as shares to be issued during the year ended 31 December 2010, and a reimbursement of exploration and evaluation properties expenditures of \$69,201 for the Golden Summit project and \$200,799 for the Vinasale project was recorded in the accounts for the year ended 31 December 2010 (*Notes 5a and 5c*).

On 22 December 2010, the Company closed a non-brokered private placement of 11,514,213 units priced at \$0.46 per unit for proceeds of \$5,296,538. Each unit consists of one common share and one non-transferable share purchase warrant. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.55 per share exercisable until 15 December 2011 and at a price of \$0.65 per share exercisable until 15 December 2012 (*Note 10c*).

### b) Exercise of Warrants and Options

- i) During the period, a total of 1,542,344 (2011 7,168,521) warrants were exercised for gross proceeds of \$894,540 (2011 \$3,076,408).
- ii) During the period, a total of Nil (2011 254,166) options were exercised for gross proceeds of \$Nil (2011 \$126,500).
- iii) During the period, a total of 577,083 warrants at \$1.20 expired on 30 September 2012.
- iv) During the period, a total of 3,380,601 warrants at \$0.58 expired on 22 August 2012.

### c) Share Purchase Warrants

As at 30 September 2012, the following share purchase warrants are outstanding:

	Number	Price per Share	Expiry Date	
	2,817,239	\$0.50	1 October 2012	****
	7,641,174	\$0.65	15 December 2012	***
	2,834,999	\$0.65	16 March 2013	**
	1,771,442	\$0.65	2 February 2014	*
	50,000	\$0.65	15 February 2014	*
Total	15,114,854			

#### Freegold Ventures Limited (An Exploration Stage Company)

### Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

\* During the period, 1,821,442 share purchase warrants having a fair value of \$396,547 were issued relating to private placements. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one-half additional common share at a price of \$0.65 per share exercisable until 2 February 2014 and 15 February 2014 (*Note 10a*).

\*\* During the prior year, 4,583,331 share purchase warrants having a fair value of \$859,060 were issued relating to private placements. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.59 per share exercisable until 16 March 2012 and at a price of \$0.65 per share exercisable from 17 March 2012 until 16 March 2013 (*Notes 11a and 18*).

\*\*\* During a prior year, 11,514,213 share purchase warrants having a fair value of \$3,350,612 were issued relating to private placements. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.65 per share exercisable until 15 December 2012 (*Note 10a*).

\*\*\*\* During a prior year, 8,975,759 share purchase warrants having a fair value of \$2,128,992 were issued relating to private placements. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.50 per share exercisable until 1 October 2012 (*Note 10a*).

During the prior year, 3,609,268 share purchase warrants having a fair value of \$1,107,275 were issued relating to private placements. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.75 per share exercisable until 22 August 2012 (*Note 10a*). Effective June 12, 2012, the exercise price of 3,567,728 share purchase warrants were reduced from \$0.75 to \$0.58 resulting in an incremental fair value of \$156,802. This amount is shown as a financing cost. 187,181 of these warrants were exercised and 3,380,601 of these warrants expired.

### d) Share Purchase Options

The Company has established share purchase option plans whereby the Board of Directors (the "Board"), may from time to time, grant options to directors, officers, employees or consultants to a maximum of 1,688,208 options. At the Company's Annual and Special Meeting held on 28 April 2008, shareholders approved a resolution which amended the option plans to cap the number of options and performance shares outstanding to 10% of the issued and outstanding shares. Options granted must be exercised no later than five years from date of grant or such lesser period as determined by the Board. The exercise price of an option is not less than the closing price on the TSX on the last trading day preceding the grant date. Options vest upon the discretion of the Board.

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

A summary of the Company's options at 30 September 2012 and the changes for the period are as follows:

Number Outstanding					Number Outstanding and Exercisable	Exercise	
31 December					30 September	Price	
2011	Granted	Exercised	Cancelled	Expired	2012	Per Share	Expiry Date
4,167	-	-	-	(4,167)	-	\$9.00	13 July 2012
16,667	-	-	-	( ,, : 01 )	16,667	\$12.60	1 November 2012
186,667	-	-	-	-	186,667	\$8.52	21 February 2013
25,000	-	-	(25,000)	-	-	\$8.52	10 April 2013
604,167	-	-	-	-	604,167	\$0.48	26 February 2015
800,000	-	-	-	-	800,000	\$0.50	29 October 2015
2,035,000	-	-	-	-	2,035,000	\$0.87	10 May 2016
1,590,000	-	-			1,590,000	\$0.65	20 October 2016
-	20,000	-	-	-	20,000	\$0.75	20 February 2017
5,261,668	20,000	-	(25,000)	(4,167)	5,252,501		

During the period, the Company granted the following options to an employee and recognized the 2012 vested amount as follows:

				Nine months ended September
		Exercise	Fair	30, 2012 Vested
Grant Date	Granted	Price	Value	Amount
20 February 2012	20,000	\$0.75	12,176	12,176
Total	20,000		\$12,176	\$12,176

The total estimated fair value of the 20,000 options is \$12,176 and was recorded in the Company accounts as share-based payments expense. The offsetting entry was to stock options reserve.

During the prior year, the Company granted the following options to employees and directors and recognized the 2011 vested amount as follows:

Grant Date	Granted	Exercise Price	Fair Value	Nine months ended September 2011 Vested Amount
10 May 2011	2,035,000	\$0.87	\$1,442,062	\$1,442,062
Total	2,035,000		\$1,442,062	\$1,442,062

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

The total estimated fair value of the 3,625,000 options is \$2,306,241 and was recorded in the Company accounts as share-based payments expense. The offsetting entry was to stock options reserve.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes Option-Pricing Model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	2012	2011
Expected dividend yield	0.00%	0.00%
Expected stock price volatility	115.80%	117.71%
Risk-free interest rate	1.49%	2.15%
Expected life of options	5.00 years	5.00 years

Option pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected price volatility. Changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, and therefore, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of the Company's stock options.

### 11. Commitments

The Company has outstanding and future commitments under exploration and evaluation property option agreements to pay cash and issue common shares of the Company (*Note 5*).

### **12. Segmented Information**

Details on a geographic basis as at 30 September 2012 are as follows:

	USA	Canada	Total
Loss for the period	\$ (33,086)	\$ (1,491,704)	\$ (1,524,790)
Comprehensive loss for the period	\$ (33,086)	\$ (1,491,704)	\$ (1,524,790)
Current assets	\$ 19,615	\$ 2,112,758	\$ 2,132,373
Property, plant and equipment	\$ 421,699	\$ 12,101	\$ 433,800
Exploration and evaluation properties	\$ 37,111,417	\$ -	\$ 37,111,417
Total assets	\$ 37,552,731	\$ 2,124,859	\$ 39,677,590

Details on a geographic basis as at 31 December 2011 are as follows:

	 USA	Canada	Total
Loss for the year	\$ (1,409,079)	\$ (3,770,447)	\$ (5,179,526)
Comprehensive loss for the year	\$ (1,409,079)	\$ (3,770,447)	\$ (5,179,526)
Current assets	\$ 134,569	\$ 6,845,834	\$ 6,980,403
Property, plant and equipment	\$ 437,950	\$ 13,198	\$ 451,148
Exploration and evaluation properties	\$ 30,505,646	\$ -	\$ 30,505,646
Total assets	\$ 31,078,165	\$ 6,859,032	\$ 37,937,197

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

Details on a geographic basis as at 30 September 2011 are as follows:

	USA	Canada	Total
Loss for the period	\$ (95,222)	\$ (2,562,294)	\$ (2,657,516)
Comprehensive loss for the period	\$ (95,222)	\$ (2,562,294)	\$ (2,657,516)
Current assets	\$ 614,314	\$ 7,474,432	\$ 8,115,746
Property, plant and equipment	\$ 2,164,250	\$ 14,702	\$ 2,178,952
Exploration and evaluation properties	\$ 28,483,879	\$ -	\$ 28,483,879
Total assets	\$ 31,289,443	\$ 7,489,134	\$ 38,778,577

# 13. Comparative Figures

Certain comparative figures have been adjusted to conform to the current period's presentation.

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

### 14. Financial Instruments and Risk Management

### a) Financial Instruments

The carrying value of financial assets and liabilities at 30 September 2012 and 31 December 2011 are as follows:

		30 September 2012		31 December 2011
Financial Assets				
FVTPL, measured at fair value Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,735,048	\$	6,529,149
Loans and receivables, measured at amortized cost Receivables		-		33,181
Held-to-maturity, measured at amortized cost Investments (GIC)	\$	20,000	\$	20,000
	Ψ	30 September 2012	Ψ	31 December 2011
Financial Liabilities				
Other liabilities, measured at amortized cost Trade payables Due to related parties	\$	1,658,954 -	\$	1,235,779 36,732

The fair value hierarchy of financial instruments measured at fair value on the balance sheet is as follows:

	30 September 2012			31 December 2011		
		Level 1		Level 1		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,735,048	\$	6,529,149		

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

#### b) Capital Management

The capital structure of the Company consists of equity attributable to common shareholders, comprising of issued capital, accumulated other comprehensive income and deficit. The Company's objectives when managing capital are to: (i) preserve capital, (ii) obtain the best available net return, and (iii) maintain liquidity.

The Company manages the capital structure and makes adjustments to it in light of changes in economic condition and the risk characteristics of the underlying assets. To maintain or adjust the capital structure, the Company may attempt to issue new shares, issue new debt, acquire or dispose of assets or adjust the amount of cash and cash equivalents and investments.

Management reviews its capital management approach on an ongoing basis and believes that this approach, given the relative size of the Company, is reasonable. There were no changes in the Company's approach to capital management during the period ended 30 September 2012. Neither the Company nor its subsidiaries is subject to externally imposed capital requirements.

### c) Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of an unexpected loss if a customer or counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations and arises primarily from the Company's cash and cash equivalents and amounts receivable. The Company manages its credit risk relating to cash and cash equivalents by dealing only with highly-rated Canadian financial institutions. As at 30 September 2012, amounts receivable of \$19,496 (31 December 2011 - \$67,195) was comprised of Harmonized Sales Tax receivable of \$19,496 (31 December 2011 - \$34,014), interest receivable of \$Nil (31 December 2011 - \$12,206) and other receivables of \$Nil (31 December 2011 - \$20,975). As a result, credit risk is considered insignificant.

### d) Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. The Company manages liquidity risk by continuously monitoring actual and projected cash flows and matching the maturity profile of financial assets and liabilities. As the Company's financial instruments are substantially comprised of cash and cash equivalents, liquidity risk is considered insignificant.

### e) Currency Risk

The Company is exposed to currency risk on its acquisition and exploration expenditures on its US properties since it has to convert Canadian dollars raised through equity financing in Canada to US dollars. The Company's expenditures will be negatively impacted if the US dollar increases versus the Canadian dollar.

The majority of the Company's cash flows and financial assets and liabilities are denominated in Canadian dollars, which is the Company's functional and reporting currency. Foreign currency risk is limited to the portion of the Company's business transactions denominated in currencies other than the Canadian dollar. The Company has cash and cash equivalents held in US dollars.

The Company's objective in managing its foreign currency risk is to minimize its net exposures to foreign currency cash flows by holding most of its cash and cash equivalents in Canadian dollars.

30 September 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Canadian Funds

The Company monitors and forecasts the values of net foreign currency cash flow and balance sheet exposures and from time to time could authorize the use of derivative financial instruments such as forward foreign exchange contracts to economically hedge a portion of foreign currency fluctuations.

The following table provides an indication of the Company's foreign currency exposures during the periods ended 30 September 2012 and 31 December 2011:

	30 September 2012	31 December 2011
Cash and cash equivalents	US\$1,311,971	US\$ 703,639
Trade payables	US\$ 1,577,580	US\$ 889,136

A 1% change in Canadian/US foreign exchange rate at period end would have changed the net loss of the Company, assuming that all other variables remained constant, by approximately \$2,656 for the period ended 30 September 2012.

The Company has not, to the date of these condensed consolidated financial statements, entered into derivative instruments to offset the impact of foreign currency fluctuations.

### f) Interest Risk

The Company is not subject to interest risk.

### g) Commodity Price Risk

The Company is in the exploration stage and is not subject to commodity price risk.

### 15. Subsequent Events

The following events occurred during the period from the nine months ended 30 September 2012 to the date the condensed consolidated financial statements were available to be issued on 14 November 2012:

- i) 1,618,615 warrants were exercised at a price of \$0.50 per warrant for gross proceeds of \$809,308.
- ii) 651,348 warrants were exercised at a price of \$0.65 per warrant for gross proceeds of \$423,376.
- iii) 1,198,624 warrants at an exercise price of \$0.50 per warrant expired on 1 October 2012.
- iv) 16,667 options at an exercise price of \$12.60 per option expired on 1 November 2012.